

Oncogenic Viruses and Breast Cancer: Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus (MMTV), Bovine Leukemia Virus (BLV), Human Papilloma Virus (HPV), and Epstein–Barr Virus (EBV)

Virus oncogènes et cancer du sein: virus de la tumeur mammaire de la souris (MMTV), virus de la leucémie bovine (BLV), virus du papillome humain (HPV) et virus d'Epstein-Barr (EBV)



James S. Lawson^{1*}



Brian Salmons²



and Wendy K. Glenn¹

- ¹School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- ²Austrianova, Synapse, Biopolis, Singapore

Background: Although the risk factors for breast cancer are well established, namely female gender, early menarche and late menopause plus the protective influence of early pregnancy, the underlying causes of breast cancer remain unknown. The development of substantial recent evidence indicates that a handful of viruses may have a role in breast cancer. These viruses are mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV), bovine leukemia virus (BLV), human papilloma viruses (HPVs), and Epstein–Barr virus (EBV—also known as human herpes virus type 4). Each of these viruses has documented oncogenic potential. The aim of this review is to inform the scientific and general community about this recent evidence.

Contexte: Bien que les facteurs de risque de cancer du sein soient bien établis, à savoir le sexe féminin, les premières règles et la ménopause tardive, ainsi que l'influence protectrice du début de la grossesse, les causes sous-jacentes du cancer du sein restent inconnues. L'élaboration de nombreuses preuves récentes indique qu'une poignée de virus pourrait jouer un rôle dans le cancer du sein. Ces virus sont le virus de la tumeur mammaire de souris (MMTV), le virus de la leucémie bovine (BLV), les virus du papillome humain (VPH) et le virus d'Epstein-Barr (EBV, également appelé virus de l'herpès humain de type 4). Chacun de ces virus a un potentiel oncogénique documenté. Le but de cette revue est d'informer la communauté scientifique et la communauté générale de ces preuves récentes.

The evidence: MMTV and human breast cancer—the evidence is detailed and comprehensive but cannot be regarded as conclusive. BLV and human breast cancer—the evidence is limited. However, in view of the emerging information about BLV in human breast cancer, it is prudent to encourage the elimination of BLV in cattle, particularly in the dairy industry. HPVs and breast cancer—the evidence is substantial but not conclusive. The availability of effective preventive vaccines is a major advantage and their use should be encouraged. EBV and breast cancer—the evidence is also substantial but not conclusive. Currently, there are no practical means of either

prevention or treatment. Although there is evidence of genetic predisposition, and cancer in general is a culmination of events, there is no evidence that inherited genetic traits are causal.

Conclusion: The influence of oncogenic viruses is currently the major plausible hypothesis for a direct cause of human breast cancer.

Les preuves: le MMTV et le cancer du sein humain - les preuves sont détaillées et complètes mais ne peuvent être considérées comme concluantes. BLV et cancer du sein humain - les preuves sont limitées. Cependant, compte tenu des nouvelles informations sur le VBB dans le cancer du sein humain, il est prudent d'encourager l'élimination du VBB chez les bovins, en particulier dans l'industrie laitière. HPV et cancer du sein - les preuves sont substantielles mais non concluantes. La disponibilité de vaccins préventifs efficaces est un avantage majeur et leur utilisation devrait être encouragée. EBV et cancer du sein - les preuves sont également substantielles mais non concluantes. Bien qu'il existe des preuves de prédisposition génétique et que le cancer en général soit le point culminant d'événements, il n'y a aucune preuve que les traits génétiques hérités soient causals.

Conclusion: *L'influence des virus oncogènes est actuellement la principale hypothèse plausible d'une cause directe du cancer du sein chez l'homme.*

Aim of This Review

Although the risk factors for breast cancer are well established, namely female gender, early menarche and late menopause plus the protective influence of early pregnancy, the underlying causes of breast cancer remain unknown. The development of substantial recent evidence indicates that a handful of viruses may have a role in breast cancer. These viruses are mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV), bovine leukemia virus (BLV), human papilloma viruses (HPVs), and Epstein–Barr virus (EBV—also known as human herpes virus type 4). Each of these viruses has documented oncogenic potential.

The aim of this review is to inform the scientific and general community about this recent evidence.

It is helpful to consider this evidence in the context of past studies. It is also helpful to consider the potential role of these four oncoviruses in a single review because there is emerging evidence that one or more of them may collaborate.

Bien que les facteurs de risque de cancer du sein soient bien établis, à savoir le sexe féminin, les premières règles et la ménopause tardive, ainsi que l'effet protecteur du début de la grossesse, les causes sous-jacentes du cancer du sein demeurent inconnues. L'élaboration de nombreuses preuves récentes indique qu'une poignée de virus pourrait jouer un rôle dans le cancer du sein. Ces virus sont le virus de la tumeur mammaire de souris (MMTV), le virus de la leucémie bovine (BLV), les virus du papillome humain (HPV) et le virus d'Epstein-Barr (EBV), également appelé virus de l'herpès humain de type 4. Chacun de ces virus a un potentiel oncogénique documenté.

Le but de cette revue est d'informer la communauté scientifique et la communauté générale de ces preuves récentes.

Il est utile de considérer ces preuves dans le contexte d'études antérieures. Il est également utile d'examiner le rôle potentiel de ces quatre oncovirus dans une seule revue, car il existe de nouvelles preuves selon lesquelles un ou plusieurs d'entre eux pourraient collaborer.

Introduction

We have used an extension of the classic Hill criteria to review the evidence (1). These criteria are (i) strength of association (the odds ratio with the higher the strength of association between a causal agent the more likely an association), (ii) consistency (the confirmation of findings in repeated studies conducted by different researchers in different locations and at different times), (iii) specificity (the more specific the relationship between a causal agent and a disease the more probable there is an association), (iv) temporality (the time sequence where an event such as infection with a causal agent precedes a specific disease), (v) biological gradient (response to different concentrations or length of exposure to the causal agent) (vi) plausibility (conclusions drawn from previous evidence such as the oncogenic capacity of an infectious agent), (vii) coherence (overall the evidence is consistent), (viii) experimental evidence (the most valuable is a demonstration that the disease will not develop if the presumed cause is removed, such as use of an effective preventive vaccine), and (ix) analogy (an example is the proven cause of cancer by viruses in animals which may have parallels in humans). With respect to viruses, additional causal criteria to the Hill criteria are (i) viral genetic material is present in cancer tissues but rarely in normal tissues, (ii) the virus is capable of transforming normal cells into malignant cells, (iii) the viral oncogenic mechanism is understood, and (iv) the means of viral transmission has been identified. There are differences in the importance of each criterion. With respect to viruses and cancer the identification of viral genetic material and a significant odds ratio between cancer and normal tissues are of special importance.

The development of conclusive evidence for a viral cause of breast cancer is extremely difficult because the proportion of viral nucleic acids in a cancer sample is usually very small when compared with host-derived genetic material. MMTV and BLV are retroviruses. Retroviral genomes rarely exceed 10–12 kb, and hence constitute a minor fraction of the genome of the infected host cell. The infected cell type may constitute only a small fraction of the sample, and the infected cells may contain a relatively low number of viral genome copies (2). HPVs and EBV are DNA viruses. The viral load of high risk for cancer HPVs is 2,000-fold less in breast cancer when compared with cervical cancer (3). Although these viruses can be detected by whole-genome sequencing, amplification techniques such as nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) can be required for their successful identification. However, because of these problems the outcomes of PCR can be inconsistent and even falsely negative. It can also be argued that these viral loads are so low that they may not be oncogenic.

Nous avons utilisé une extension des critères classiques de Hill pour examiner les preuves (1). Ces critères sont (i) la force de l'association (le rapport de probabilités étant d'autant plus élevé que l'association de l'agent causal est la plus probable), (ii) la cohérence (la confirmation des résultats d'études répétées menées par différents chercheurs à différents endroits). et à des moments différents), (iii) la spécificité (plus la relation entre un agent causal et une maladie est spécifique, plus il y a de corrélation), (iv) la temporalité (la séquence temporelle où un événement tel que l'infection par un agent causal précède une maladie spécifique), (v) gradient biologique (réponse à différentes concentrations ou durée d'exposition à l'agent causal) (vi) plausibilité (conclusions tirées de preuves antérieures telles que la capacité oncogénique d'un agent infectieux), (vii) cohérence (dans l'ensemble, les preuves sont cohérentes), (viii) des preuves expérimentales (le plus

précieux est de démontrer que la maladie ne se développera pas si la cause présumée est éliminée, comme par exemple vaccin préventif), et (ix) une analogie (par exemple, la cause avérée du cancer chez les virus chez les animaux, qui peut avoir des parallèles chez l'homme). En ce qui concerne les virus, les critères de causalité supplémentaires aux critères de Hill sont (i) du matériel génétique viral présent dans les tissus cancéreux mais rarement dans des tissus normaux, (ii) le virus est capable de transformer des cellules normales en cellules malignes, (iii) le virus on entend le mécanisme oncogène, et (iv) les moyens de transmission virale ont été identifiés. Il y a des différences dans l'importance de chaque critère. En ce qui concerne les virus et le cancer, l'identification du matériel génétique viral et un rapport de cotes significatif entre le cancer et les tissus normaux revêtent une importance particulière.

L'élaboration de preuves concluantes d'une cause virale du cancer du sein est extrêmement difficile car la proportion d'acides nucléiques viraux dans un échantillon de cancer est généralement très faible par rapport au matériel génétique dérivé de l'hôte. MMTV et BLV sont des rétrovirus. Les génomes rétroviraux dépassent rarement 10-12 kb et constituent donc une fraction mineure du génome de la cellule hôte infectée. Le type de cellule infectée peut ne constituer qu'une petite fraction de l'échantillon et les cellules infectées peuvent contenir un nombre relativement faible de copies du génome viral (2). Les HPV et EBV sont des virus à ADN. La charge virale associée au risque élevé de cancer par HPV est 2 000 fois moins élevée dans le cancer du sein que dans le cancer du col utérin (3). Bien que ces virus puissent être détectés par séquençage du génome entier, des techniques d'amplification telles que la réaction en chaîne de la polymérase (PCR) imbriquée peuvent être nécessaires pour une identification réussie. Cependant, à cause de ces problèmes, les résultats du RAP peuvent être incohérents et même faussement négatifs. On peut également soutenir que ces charges virales sont si faibles qu'elles ne sont peut-être pas oncogènes.

Techniques Used to Implicate Viruses in Breast Cancer

The techniques used for the identification of viruses in breast and other cancers are of fundamental importance to the validity of studies aimed at determining viral causes of cancer. These techniques include (i) various types of PCR—standard PCR which is based on extracts of DNA from target tissues and cannot be used to identify the location of viral gene sequences in specific cell types, and *in situ* PCR which can identify viral gene sequences in specific cells, (ii) *in situ* hybridization which can identify viral gene sequences in specific cells but which is much less sensitive than PCR amplification techniques, (iii) immunohistochemistry which can be used to identify viral proteins, and (iv) massive genome sequencing which can be used to identify specific viral gene segments but does not appear to be as sensitive as PCR. Because of its sensitivity, PCR remains as the most commonly used technique but is notoriously subject to contamination which can lead to false positive results. Joshi and Buehring have reviewed the techniques that have been used in studies of MMTV, HPVs and EBVs in breast cancer (4). They have shown there is considerable variation in the quality of these studies and have suggested a number of criteria which should be used to assess the validity of results. These criteria include (i) the use of *in situ* molecular methods (*in situ* PCR) either initially or as confirmation of results based on standard PCR so as to identify the cell type positive for the virus and to avoid contamination, (ii) the use of *in situ* hybridization tests, and (iii) the use of normal control breast tissues from women with no history of breast cancer and not from normal tissues adjacent to breast tumors.

In this review, we have used published meta-analyses as one basis for the selection of studies listed in the tables. While we did not identify any biases in these meta-analyses, we have only included in

the tables studies which report results which include adequate controls and which meet the criteria outlined above.

Techniques utilisées pour impliquer les virus dans le cancer du sein

Les techniques utilisées pour l'identification des virus dans les cancers du sein et d'autres cancers revêtent une importance fondamentale pour la validité des études visant à déterminer les causes virales du cancer. Ces techniques incluent (i) différents types de PCR - PCR standard basée sur des extraits d'ADN de tissus cibles et ne pouvant pas être utilisée pour identifier l'emplacement de séquences de gènes viraux dans des types de cellules spécifiques, et PCR in situ permettant d'identifier des séquences de gènes viraux. dans des cellules spécifiques, (ii) hybridation in situ permettant d'identifier des séquences de gènes viraux dans des cellules spécifiques mais beaucoup moins sensible que les techniques d'amplification PCR, (iii) immunohistochimie pouvant être utilisée pour identifier des protéines virales, et (iv) séquençage de génomes massifs qui peut être utilisé pour identifier des segments de gènes viraux spécifiques mais ne semble pas être aussi sensible que la PCR. En raison de sa sensibilité, la PCR reste la technique la plus couramment utilisée mais est notoirement sujette à la contamination, ce qui peut entraîner des résultats faussement positifs. Joshi et Buehring ont passé en revue les techniques utilisées dans les études sur le MMTV, les HPV et les EBV dans le cancer du sein (4). Ils ont montré que la qualité de ces études variait considérablement et ont suggéré un certain nombre de critères qui devraient être utilisés pour évaluer la validité des résultats. Ces critères incluent (i) l'utilisation de méthodes moléculaires in situ (PCR in situ) soit initialement, soit comme confirmation des résultats sur la base de la PCR standard, afin d'identifier le type cellulaire positif pour le virus et d'éviter la contamination, (ii) l'utilisation de (iii) l'utilisation de tissus mammaires de contrôle normaux provenant de femmes sans antécédents de cancer du sein et non de tissus normaux adjacents à des tumeurs mammaires.

Dans cette revue, nous avons utilisé les méta-analyses publiées comme base de sélection des études répertoriées dans les tableaux. Bien que nous n'ayons identifié aucun biais dans ces méta-analyses, nous n'avons inclus dans les tableaux que les études rapportant des résultats comprenant des contrôles adéquats et répondant aux critères énoncés ci-dessus.

Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus

Some background is helpful to place the evidence in context. While working at the Jackson Laboratory in Maine in 1936, John Bittner discovered a cancerous agent, which he called a “milk factor,” which could be transmitted by milk from mouse mothers with mammary tumors to their mouse pups, who as adults, later developed mammary tumors (5). Graff et al., working in New York in the post—World War II years, identified viral particles in mouse milk which they showed could cause mammary cancer when injected intraperitoneally into laboratory strains of mice (6). In 1966, Peter Duesberg and Phyllis Blair, working at the University of California at Berkeley, identified this virus as a retroviral RNA virus, similar to other RNA tumor viruses and which has become known as MMTV (7). The biology of MMTV has been intensively studied and is the proven cause of mammary cancers in wild (feral) and experimental mice (8). MMTV has been identified in a number of different organs in the mouse including the mammary glands, the prostate, salivary glands, and the lymphatic system (8). MMTV has also been identified in several different mammal species including humans, dogs, cats, and monkeys (9).

Mouse mammary tumor virus became an obvious suspect as a causal agent in human breast cancer and financial resources from the President Richard Nixon war on cancer were allocated to research in this field. A major breakthrough came with the identification of RNA in human breast cancer that was homologous to MMTV RNA (10). This observation was confirmed during the next decade at the DNA level (11–13). However, it was difficult to distinguish MMTV gene sequences from those of the human endogenous retrovirus (HERV). HERV gene sequences are very similar to MMTV and may be the remnants of MMTV viruses that have become integrated into the human genome over millennia (14). This problem was overcome by the Beatriz Pogo group working in the Mount Sinai Medical Center in New York, by their identification of MMTV envelope gene sequences which were unique to MMTV (15). Their work led to a resurgence of interest in MMTV and human breast cancer. The likely lifecycle of MMTV in humans appears to be very similar to the lifecycle of MMTV in mice. This offers an almost unique animal model which has allowed investigators to follow specific lines of enquiry.

Identification of MMTV in Human Breast Cancer

Using hybridization with cloned MMTV DNA techniques (16–18), Callahan et al., Westley and May, and May and Westley, identified related sequences in human breast cancer cells. Using similar hybridization methods, Szakacs and Moscinski (13) identified the entire provirus of MMTV with a typical structure of a retrovirus [long terminal repeat (*LTR*), group specific antigen (*gag*), capsid region, polymerase (*pol*), reverse transcription region, and envelope (*env*) in 7 (13%) of 52 human breast cancers]. The identification of MMTV sequences by hybridization techniques in these studies is important because of the later controversies related to the use of PCR techniques.

With respect to the review of MMTV in human breast cancer, the publications included in a meta-analysis by Wang et al. supplemented by more recent publications were considered and included in Table 1 (19). Only case control studies with adequate controls are included. The criteria for inclusion in this meta-analysis were (i) studies had to use PCR-based techniques to detect regions of the MMTV envelope gene that have low homology to known HERVs in tissues and (ii) only studies on breast cancer in females. The results indicate there is a 15-fold higher prevalence of MMTV *env* sequences in human breast cancers when compared with non-cancer controls. It should be noted that these studies are heterogeneous and accordingly this meta-analysis cannot be regarded as definitive. Despite this reservation, MMTV *env* gene sequences were identified in breast cancers but not normal breast controls by *in situ* PCR in three of the studies in Table 1 (20–22). Several studies which did not identify MMTV gene sequences have been omitted from Table 1 because of inadequate methods as judged by the criteria of Joshi and Buehring (4).

TABLE 1

Table 1. Identification of MMTV sequences in breast cancer and comparison non-cancer breast specimens (case–control studies).

There are geographical differences in the prevalence of MMTV in mice which may influence the prevalence of MMTV in humans (36). The higher the prevalence of one species of house mouse, *Mus domesticus*, the higher the prevalence of human breast cancer (36). The prevalence of MMTV positive breast cancers in Western countries is broadly consistent between 30 and 40% and in Asian countries between 10 and 20% (36). These differences may, in part, be due to the differences in exposure to MMTV in mice (36).

There are wide variations in the identification of MMTV in breast cancers from the same countries. For example, the identification of MMTV in breast cancers in Mexico varied from nil to 16% and in Iran nil to 12%. By comparison the outcomes of multiple studies in the US, Italy, and Australia are broadly similar. It is likely that some of these differences are due to the technical difficulty of identifying MMTV sequences by PCR. We have recently shown that the outcomes of PCR used to identify MMTV can differ despite the use of the same specimens (37). However, PCR is the most sensitive technique available for the detection of low level viral infections and the outcomes should not be discounted because of these difficulties. In some of the published studies the methods are clearly inadequate as for example the omission of a positive control or the failure to achieve a positive control outcome (38–40). These studies have not been included in Table 1.

It should be noted that hybridization techniques have also been used to successfully confirm the outcome of PCR amplification techniques in 5 of the 17 studies in Table 1. *In situ* PCR techniques were also used which confirmed the outcomes of standard PCR in two studies. *In situ* PCR is less likely to give false negative and positive outcomes. It should also be noted that the identification of MMTV were similar whether PCR primers based on envelope gene or LTR gene MMTV sequences are used (41). MMTV encodes a characteristic dUTPase in the gag gene and future confirmatory studies using primers in this region might be useful (42).

Overall, the much higher prevalence of MMTV positive identification in breast cancers when compared with non-cancerous breast tissues is suggestive of a role for MMTV in a subset of human breast cancers.

Whole-Genome Sequencing

The identity of MMTV in human breast cancers has been confirmed by whole-genome sequencing (43, 44). However, MMTV sequences were identified in only 3 of over 800 breast cancer specimens from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). This is an indication that PCR is much more sensitive than whole-genome sequencing (2).

The almost complete genome of MMTV in human breast cancers has been identified with 84–99% homology to MMTV in mouse breast cancers (45, 46). Breast cancer cells produce MMTV-like viral particles that are similar to the mouse virus with sequence homologies 85–95% the same as MMTV (45). Nucleotides, gene sequences and number of base pairs in the MMTV genome are virtually identical in both mouse and human breast cancers (45–47).

Specificity

Mouse mammary tumor virus is unlikely to be uniquely specific to breast cancer as it has been identified in several additional cancers including lymphoma, prostate, liver, and endometrial cancer (48–50). There is no evidence to determine whether MMTV is causal in these cancers.

Breast Cancer-Related Gene Expression

The same cancer-related genes are deregulated in both MMTV-associated mouse and human breast cancer ([51](#), [52](#)). These genes are mainly associated with cell proliferation.

MMTV Proteins in Human Breast Cancer

The MMTV envelope proteins (gp 36 and gp 52) have been identified in primary cultures of human breast cancer cells which confirm earlier findings ([53–55](#)).

MMTV Biological Gradient

The MMTV viral load appears to increase as human breast cancer progresses but falls in late stage invasive breast cancer ([20](#), [21](#)). In a study based on Italian women, MMTV was not identified in normal breast tissues from cosmetic breast surgery, but MMTV was identified in increasing prevalence in 27% of breast hyperplasias and 80% of non-invasive ductal carcinoma *in situ* but less prevalent in invasive ductal carcinomas which were 35% MMTV positive ([21](#)).

Serology

Serological-based studies of MMTV in human breast cancer have produced conflicting outcomes. Older studies identified serological responses to MMTV ([56–58](#)). Indeed, Dion et al. reported that laboratory workers exposed to MMTV showed serological responses to the MMTV *env* (gp52 and gp34) and gag (p12, p18, p28) proteins ([58](#)). However, later studies based on more modern methods did not achieve clear outcomes ([59](#)). Although 30% of the sera from women with breast cancer showed some serological reactivity against MMTV virus, none could be immunoprecipitated using purified MMTV proteins ([59](#)).

Temporality: MMTV Infection and Subsequent Breast Cancer Time Sequence

Five of six Australian women with MMTV positive benign breast biopsy tissues 1–11 years later developed MMTV positive breast cancer ([37](#)). The prior benign and later breast cancer specimens were from the same individual patients ([37](#)).

Superantigen (SAg) Expression

Mouse mammary tumor virus SAg play an essential role in MMTV-associated mouse mammary tumors ([60](#)). MMTV infection of antigen presenting cells such as B lymphocytes and dendritic cells leads to the expression of virus SAg which in turn stimulate T cells to produce cytokines that encourage the proliferation of infected B lymphocytes. MMTV is then conducted throughout the body by these lymphocytes which facilitate the entry of MMTV into its target organ (the breast or other organs). MMTV SAg is highly expressed in MMTV-associated human breast cancer and may have a similar role in humans as it does in the mouse ([61](#)). Human T cells respond to MMTV SAg ([62](#)).

Hormone Response

Mammary tumors in mice are hormone dependent ([63–65](#)). In humans, MMTV hormonal response elements in breast cancers appear to promote cell growth as they do in the mouse system ([66](#)).

MMTV Capacity to Infect Human Breast Epithelial Cells

Mouse mammary tumor virus is capable of infecting human breast cells in culture and can randomly integrate its genomic information into the genome of the infected cells (67–69). When MMTV is integrated into the human genome the flanking sequences are of human and not mouse origin which is an indication of an exogenous infection rather than contamination (70). Integration of MMTV into the human genome appears to be random and in multiple locations as has been previously observed in mice (70).

Etkind et al. (71) identified a family in which the father, mother and daughter living together, all developed breast cancer. Each of the three breast cancers contained *env* gene sequences that were at least 98% homologous to the MMTV *env* sequences found in laboratory mouse strains. This provides support for an infective virus transmission.

MMTV Transmission

Mouse mammary tumor virus has been identified in human milk from normal lactating mothers (72). The prevalence of MMTV in human milk is significantly higher among women who are at greater than normal risk of breast cancer (73). MMTV gene sequences have been identified in human saliva (74). MMTV gene sequences are present in saliva in 27% of normal children, 11% of normal adults and 57% of women with breast cancer (74). This suggests saliva as a route in inter-human infection. MMTV gene sequences have been identified in dogs and cats (9, 75). Women with companion dogs are at twice the expected risk of breast cancer (76). In addition the biological and histological characteristics of canine mammary tumors are very similar to human breast cancer (77). These observations are suggestive of transmission of MMTV in dog saliva to humans.

Identification of MMTV in Other Animals

Mouse mammary tumor virus is the proven cause of mammary cancers in wild (feral) mice (8). MMTV-like gene sequences have been identified in 20% of mammary tumors in dogs and 33% of cats (75). Gene sequences with high homology to MMTV have been identified in rhesus monkeys and cats although the tissues studied were not mammary tumors (9).

Histopathology

The histopathology in the early stages of MMTV-associated mouse and human breast cancers are very similar and in some cancers are virtually identical (30, 78). However, these characteristics are not sufficiently different from several other cancers in humans, such as basal cell skin carcinomas, to act as diagnostic criteria. The histological characteristics of breast cancer in wild and experimental mice were studied in detail by Thelma Dunn during and after the 1940s (79). Over 90% of mouse mammary tumors in wild (feral) mice are adenocarcinomas. Dunn classified these tumors as types A and B. The breast glands are present in type A. Type B, the most common type, is characterized by dense round cancer cells without glands.

Wellings made the first observation that some human breast cancer specimens had similar histopathology characteristics to MMTV-associated mouse breast cancers (80). However, there is a belief that MMTV-associated mouse breast cancers do not resemble human breast cancers. This belief may be based on the observations made by Hamilton in 1974 (81). At that time most observations were made at a late stage in the development of breast cancer. Hamilton described

human invasive ductal breast carcinomas which were characterized by streams of elongated malignant cells surrounded by dense connective tissues which were clearly different from the histopathology of mouse breast cancers. This may explain Hamilton's conclusions as Wellings observations were based on the early proliferative stages of mouse breast and human breast cancers whereas Hamilton's conclusions were made on the late invasive stage of human breast cancer (80, 81). The histopathology of early proliferative stages of human breast cancer is very different from late stage human breast cancer.

Mechanisms of MMTV Oncogenesis in Human Breast Cancer

Even though MMTV has been classified as a non-acutely transforming retrovirus, there is experimental evidence that proteins expressed by the MMTV envelope gene are capable of malignantly transforming normal human breast epithelial cells (82). However, the mechanisms by which MMTV may cause cancer are far from clear. It has been experimentally demonstrated that integration of MMTV proviral DNA into the target genome near one or more of the proto-oncogenes such as Wnt-1 and Fgf are associated with the development of mouse mammary tumors (83). However, integrations in the vicinity of multiple proto-oncogenes seem to be required, together with other genetic insults as well as predisposing genetic mutations (84). The insertion of the MMTV enhancers in the vicinity of proto-oncogenes results in their deregulated expression which stimulates cell growth (84). It is of interest that Wnt-1 expression is higher in specimens of MMTV *env*-positive ductal carcinoma *in situ* and invasive ductal carcinoma, than in MMTV *env*-negative specimens (30). However, if MMTV is causing human breast cancer like it does in mice, then MMTV DNA should be readily detectable in tumors since they are derived by clonal outgrowth of one (or a few) originally infected cells that carry an integrated provirus near a cellular oncogene. Moreover, most MMTV-induced mammary tumors seem to contain 10 or more proviral integrations and it is thought that MMTV-induced tumors arise when these multiple integrations occur in a single cell (85). However, the relatively low levels of MMTV that are detected in human breast cancers suggest that the virus is affecting oncogenesis by mechanisms other than enhancer insertion.

Mouse mammary tumor virus envelope proteins have been proposed to be involved in tumorigenesis (86, 87). MMTV envelope protein overexpression can lead to morphological changes in normal 3-dimensional mouse breast cell cultures (86). As noted previously, the envelope proteins of Jaagsiekte Sheep Retrovirus which like MMTV is a beta retrovirus, can directly transform cells (88).

Mouse mammary tumor virus-encoded proteins (such as Rem, Sag, Naf) or as yet uncharacterized proteins analogous to those of other complex retroviruses such as Tax may also have a role in breast cancer (87). Further, it has been shown that MMTV, like some other retroviruses, can influence infected host cell miRNA, enhancing the expression of members of the oncogenic mi RNA cluster, mi-17-92 (89). There is also the intriguing possibility that MMTV and HERVs may interact and thus also play a role (86).

It is also conceivable that MMTV infection activates a latent human DNA virus such as EBV or human papillomavirus (HPV)—both of which are candidate viruses associated with human breast cancer, in an analogous fashion to the way that human immunodeficiency virus has an indirect role in Kaposi's sarcoma (87).

Apolipoprotein B editing complex (APOBEC) family genes encode deaminase enzymes that edit DNA and/or RNA sequences thus playing a central role in the control of virus infections, including retroviruses (90). APOBEC enzymes normally function in innate immune responses, including those that target retroviruses, suggesting links between mutagenesis, immunity and viral infection in cancer development. In mouse models, APOBEC3 has been shown to inhibit MMTV infections and viral replication and to restrict milk borne MMTV virions (90–92). Abnormal expression of APOBEC3B may reduce its protective effects against MMTV. Inactivating mutations and deletions in APOBEC3B are also thought to play a role in breast cancer development. Aberrant expression of APOBEC3B has also been shown to be induced by DNA viruses such as HPV, specifically in breast cancer (93, 94). Moreover, a deletion polymorphism in the APOBEC3B gene cluster on chromosome 22 is associated with elevated breast cancer risk and a specific APOBEC mutation pattern has been described in a number of cancers, including breast cancer, suggesting a functional link with cancer development (95, 96). This mutation pattern is linked to APOBEC3B expression specifically in breast cancer and leads to DNA damage that could select TP53 inactivation, thereby resulting in tumor heterogeneity (97). Recently, it has been shown that there is a significant increase in APOBEC-mediated mutagenesis in HER+/HER2 metastatic breast tumors when compared with early stage primary breast cancer (98). Taken together, these studies suggest that the APOBEC family and particularly APOBEC3B may play a central role in the early stages of breast cancer induction. Additional studies are required to demonstrate a link between MMTV, APOBEC, and breast cancer.

Analogy between MMTV-Associated Human Breast Cancer and MMTV-Associated Mouse Mammary Tumors

The biology of MMTV in humans appears to be very similar to MMTV in mice (8). The evidence related to MMTV-associated human breast cancer and MMTV induced mouse mammary tumors, is remarkably similar. The key evidence is summarized in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Table 2. Comparative evidence.

In mice MMTV is spread by the milk of infected mouse mothers and is acquired by suckling pups (8).

Ingested MMTV enters T and B cells located in Peyer’s patches of the gastrointestinal tract of infected mouse pups. This spread of MMTV infection requires activation of T and B lymphocytes by the viral Sag. Sag activation allows the virus to amplify in lymphocytes prior to transmission of the virus to mammary epithelial cells. Ultimately the virus is transported by infected lymphocytes to the mammary glands where oncogenic transformation takes place (8).

In humans, MMTV infects lymphocytes located in the intestine and randomly infects and integrates into the human genome located in normal breast epithelial cells (67–70, 107).

Bovine Leukemia Virus and Breast Cancer

Bovine leukemia virus is a delta retrovirus which is closely related to the human T cell leukemia virus 1 (108). It is the cause of leukemia in beef and dairy cattle. BLV has typical retroviral genome regions: *LTR* (promoter region), *gag* (group specific antigen, capsid region), *pol* (polymerase, reverse transcription region, which synthesizes a DNA copy of the BLV RNA genome), and *env* (envelope). However, unlike other oncogenic retroviruses, delta retroviruses have an additional region, *tax* (trans-activating region of the X gene), which has regulatory functions and is oncogenic to host cells. *Tax* causes malignant transformation by inhibition of DNA repair and disruption of cellular growth control mechanisms.

Clinical leukemia develops in less than 5% of infected cattle, however, BLV-infected lymphocytes are also found in the blood and milk of sub clinically infected cows (108). BLV-infected cattle herds are found worldwide. In the USA, approximately 38% of beef herds, 84% of all dairy herds, and 100% of large-scale dairy operation herds are infected (109). In Argentina, which has a major cow's milk and beef industry, a majority of the herds are infected with BLV (110). In contrast, because of the culling of infected cattle, BLV infections have been virtually eliminated in Australian and European cows (111). However, virtually all Australians have consumed cow's milk or milk-based products such as ice cream and cheese on a regular basis since infancy and many would have been exposed to BLV-infected milk. It is possible that any oncogenic influences of BLV may take decades to develop into breast cancer and accordingly no reduction in breast cancer prevalence can be anticipated for many years.

Virus de la leucémie bovine et cancer du sein

Le virus de la leucémie bovine est un rétrovirus delta étroitement apparenté au virus de la leucémie à cellules T humaine 1 (108). C'est la cause de la leucémie chez les bovins de boucherie et les vaches laitières. Le BLV a des régions typiques du génome rétroviral: LTR (région promotrice), gag (antigène spécifique du groupe, région de la capside), pol (polymérase, région de transcription inverse, qui synthétise une copie ADN du génome de l'ARN du BLV) et env (enveloppe). Cependant, contrairement aux autres rétrovirus oncogènes, les rétrovirus delta ont une région supplémentaire, Tax (région trans-activatrice du gène X), qui a des fonctions régulatrices et est oncogène aux cellules hôtes. La Tax provoque une transformation maligne en inhibant la réparation de l'ADN et en perturbant les mécanismes de contrôle de la croissance cellulaire.

La leucémie clinique se développe chez moins de 5% des bovins infectés. Cependant, on trouve également des lymphocytes infectés par le BLV dans le sang et le lait de vaches infectées par une infection subclinique (108). Des troupeaux de bovins infectés par le BLV sont présents dans le monde entier. Aux États-Unis, environ 38% des troupeaux de bovins, 84% de tous les troupeaux de vaches laitières et 100% des grands troupeaux de vaches laitières sont infectés (109). En Argentine, où l'industrie du lait de vache et de la viande de boeuf est importante, la majorité des troupeaux sont infectés par le virus de la variole bovine (110). En revanche, en raison de l'abattage du bétail infecté, les infections à BLV ont été pratiquement éliminées chez les vaches australiennes et européennes (111). Cependant, pratiquement tous les Australiens ont consommé régulièrement du lait de vache ou des produits à base de lait, tels que des glaces et du fromage, depuis leur plus jeune âge et nombre d'entre eux auraient été exposés au lait infecté par le BLV. Il est possible que l'influence oncogénique du BLV prenne des décennies avant de se transformer en cancer du sein et,

par conséquent, aucune réduction de la prévalence du cancer du sein ne peut être anticipée avant plusieurs années.

Identification of BLV in Human Breast Tissues

Bovine leukemia virus gene sequences have been identified by PCR in human breast cancers (112–115). In a case control study based on PCR, 67 (59%) of 114 US breast cancers were positive for BLV when compared with 30 (29%) of 104 normal breast controls—odds ratio of 3 (114). There was a similar prevalence pattern in a recent study of breast cancer in a series of women from Texas (116). Of interest in this study was the increase in prevalence of BLV in benign breast tissues (19.6%), pre-malignant breast tissues (34%) and malignant breast tissues (57.4%) (116). In a case control study also based on PCR, 40 (80%) of 50 Australian breast cancers were BLV positive when compared with 19 (41%) of 46 normal controls—odds ratio 4.7 (115). There is an anecdotal report of BLV being able to infect human cells but these were of neural origin (117). The data in these studies are shown in Table 3.

Identification du BLV dans les tissus mammaires humains

Les séquences géniques du virus de la leucémie bovine ont été identifiées par PCR dans les cancers du sein chez l'humain (112–115). Dans une étude cas-témoins basée sur la PCR, 67 (59%) des 114 cancers du sein aux États-Unis étaient positifs pour le VBB comparés à 30 (29%) des 104 contrôles mammaires normaux - un rapport de cotes de 3 (114). Une étude récente sur le cancer du sein chez une série de femmes du Texas (116) avait présenté un schéma de prévalence similaire.

L'augmentation de la prévalence du BLV dans les tissus mammaires bénins (19,6%), les tissus mammaires pré-malins (34%) et les tissus mammaires malins (57,4%) (116) a été d'intérêt dans cette étude. Dans une étude cas-témoins basée également sur la PCR, 40 (80%) des 50 cancers du sein australiens étaient positifs au BLV comparés à 19 (41%) des 46 témoins normaux - rapport de cotes 4,7 (115). Selon des informations anecdotiques, le BLV pourrait infecter des cellules humaines, mais celles-ci étaient d'origine neurale (117). Les données de ces études sont présentées au tableau 3.

TABLE 3



Table 3. Bovine leukemia virus and human breast cancer.

Bovine leukemia virus capsid proteins have been identified in the serum of 191 (74%) of 257 healthy human adults (119).

Contrary to these positive findings, Zhang et al. (120) did not identify BLV by PCR in Chinese breast cancers. However, the methods used do not appear to be adequate (121).

Using whole-genome sequencing methods BLV was not identified in 51 breast cancers (118). The reason for the negative outcome based on whole-genome sequencing is not clear. A plausible reason is that whole-genome sequencing techniques are not as sensitive as amplification techniques such as PCR and the authors were assuming that BLV would have to be present in all cells of the tumor and this may not be the case (2). BLV gene sequences were identified by PCR techniques in human

breast cancer in the studies by Giavanni et al. (112) and Buehring et al. (113) which is an indication that their observations are sound.

Tableau 3. Virus de la leucémie bovine et cancer du sein humain.

Des protéines de capsid du virus de la leucémie bovine ont été identifiées dans le sérum de 191 (74%) des 257 adultes en bonne santé (119).

Contrairement à ces résultats positifs, Zhang et al. (120) n'ont pas identifié le BLV par PCR dans les cancers du sein chinois. Cependant, les méthodes utilisées ne semblent pas adéquates (121).

À l'aide de méthodes de séquençage du génome entier, le BLV n'a pas été identifié dans 51 cancers du sein (118). La raison du résultat négatif basé sur le séquençage du génome entier n'est pas claire. Une raison plausible est que les techniques de séquençage du génome entier ne sont pas aussi sensibles que les techniques d'amplification telles que la PCR et que les auteurs supposaient que le BLV devrait être présent dans toutes les cellules de la tumeur, ce qui pourrait ne pas être le cas (2). Les séquences du gène BLV ont été identifiées par des techniques de PCR dans le cancer du sein chez l'homme dans les études de Giavanni et al. (112) et Buehring et al. (113), ce qui indique que leurs observations sont correctes.

Temporality: BLV Infection and Subsequent Breast Cancer Time Sequence

Bovine leukemia virus was identified in 23 (74%) of 31 benign breast biopsy tissues 3–10 years before the development of BLV positive breast cancers in the same patients (115). The prior benign and later breast cancer specimens were from the same individual patients (115).

Le virus de la leucémie bovine a été identifié dans 23 (74%) des 31 tissus de biopsie mammaire bénigne, 3 à 10 ans avant le développement du cancer du sein BLV positif chez les mêmes patientes (115). Les échantillons de cancer du sein antérieurs bénins et ultérieurs appartenaient aux mêmes patients (115).

Epidemiology

Bovine leukemia virus and BLV-infected cells are present in colostrum and milk of most infected cows (108). This is the most likely means of transmission from cows to humans. There is a striking geographical correlation between breast cancer mortality and milk and bovine meat consumption (122). Zur Hausen and de Villiers have demonstrated consistent correlations between the consumption of bovine meat and cow's milk and the prevalence of breast cancer (122). Their data is based on comparisons between countries. Countries such as the US, the United Kingdom, Australia, and Germany each have high consumption of bovine meat and milk and high prevalence of breast cancer. Countries such as India, Japan, Korea, and China have low consumption of bovine meat and milk and low prevalence of breast cancer. The relevant data is shown in Table 4. Women with lactose intolerance and who have a low consumption of milk and dairy products, have a significantly lower risk of breast cancer than other women and other family members (123). In a Swedish based study by Ji et al., 22,788 individuals with lactose intolerance and who consumed only small quantities of cow's milk and other dairy products, had a 20% lower prevalence of breast cancer when compared with 69,922 siblings and parents (123). Although this might indicate the involvement of a virus transmitted in milk or dairy products as hypothesized by zur Hausen and de Villiers (122), there are additional possible explanations. These include (i) caloric restriction which is associated with a lower incidence of breast cancers (124), (ii) the presence of growth factors in

milk fats which may be associated with breast cancer risk (125), (iii) alterations to the human gut microbiome by avoidance of milk consumption and which may influence the development of cancers (126), and (iv) the potentially protective effects of dietary factors such as consumption of plant milk, including soy and rice milks, which are often consumed by women with lactose intolerance (127).

Épidémiologie

Le virus de la leucémie bovine et les cellules infectées par le BLV sont présents dans le colostrum et le lait de la plupart des vaches infectées (108). C'est le moyen de transmission le plus probable des vaches à l'homme. Il existe une corrélation géographique frappante entre la mortalité par cancer du sein et la consommation de lait et de viande bovine (122). Zur Hausen et de Villiers ont démontré des corrélations cohérentes entre la consommation de viande bovine et de lait de vache et la prévalence du cancer du sein (122). Leurs données sont basées sur des comparaisons entre pays. Des pays tels que les États-Unis, le Royaume-Uni, l'Australie et l'Allemagne ont chacun une consommation élevée de viande et de lait de vache et une forte prévalence du cancer du sein. Des pays tels que l'Inde, le Japon, la Corée et la Chine ont une faible consommation de viande et de lait de vache et une faible prévalence du cancer du sein. Les données pertinentes sont présentées dans le tableau 4. Les femmes présentant une intolérance au lactose et consommant peu de lait et de produits laitiers ont un risque de cancer du sein beaucoup plus faible que les autres femmes et les autres membres de la famille (123). Dans une étude suédoise réalisée par Ji et al., 22 788 personnes présentant une intolérance au lactose et ne consommant que de petites quantités de lait de vache et d'autres produits laitiers présentaient une prévalence du cancer du sein inférieure de 20% à 69 692 frères et sœurs et parents (123). Bien que cela puisse indiquer l'implication d'un virus transmis dans le lait ou les produits laitiers, comme le supposent zur Hausen et de Villiers (122), d'autres explications sont possibles. Ceux-ci comprennent (i) une restriction calorique associée à une incidence plus faible de cancers du sein (124), (ii) la présence de facteurs de croissance dans les matières grasses du lait pouvant être associées à un risque de cancer du sein (125), (iii) des modifications de la microbiome intestinal humain en évitant de consommer du lait et qui pourraient influencer sur l'évolution des cancers (126), et (iv) les effets potentiellement protecteurs de facteurs diététiques, tels que la consommation de lait de plante, y compris les laits de soja et de riz, souvent consommés par les femmes avec intolérance au lactose (127).

TABLE 4

Country	Breast cancer mortality† 100,000 women	Milk (oz/cv) person/ day	Bovine meat (oz/person) day
Argentina	19.9	187	347
United Kingdom	17.1	210	65
Germany	15.5	128	37
United States	14.9	187	115
Australia	14.0	180	120
Japan	9.8	84	28
South Korea	6.7	20	40

Table 4. Breast cancer mortality, incidence, milk, and bovine meat consumption high- and low-risk countries (per 100,000 women age adjusted) (2, 12, 128).

High-Risk Human Papilloma Viruses and Breast Cancer

Identification of High-Risk HPVs in Human Breast Tissues

High-risk HPVs are the cause of cervical cancer and have a role in head and neck and other cancers. The HPV viral load in breast cancer is extremely low when compared with HPV in cervical cancer

(3). As a consequence, identification of HPV in breast tumors is difficult whether by amplification techniques such as PCR or whole-genome sequencing. The low viral load is the likely reason that several laboratories have not been able to detect HPV. On the other hand HPV gene sequences have been identified in breast tumors in over 40 studies conducted in 20 countries (129, 130). With respect to the review of HPVs in human breast cancer, the publications included in the meta-analyses by Bae et al. and Choi et al. supplemented by more recent publications have been considered and included in Table 5 (129, 130). These data indicate that high-risk HPVs are fourfold more prevalent in breast tumors when compared with non-cancer controls.

TABLE 5

Table 5. Identification of high-risk HPVs in breast cancer and benign or normal breast controls in case control studies.

The prevalence of high-risk HPV-positive breast cancers varies between 0 or 2% in some Chinese Provinces to 86% in the US (118, 122). HPV types 16 and 18 are the most prevalent in breast cancer, however, HPV 33 and 58 are common in China and Japan (129, 130). Meta-analyses indicate that infection with high-risk HPVs is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer with an overall odds ratio of 5.4 (129, 130). However, there are marked differences between countries in the prevalence of different types of high-risk HPVs in breast cancer. The prevalence of HPV types and associated risk of breast cancer, varies between countries and regions within countries.

High-risk HPVs have been identified in the SK-BR-3 breast cancer cell line (165). High-risk HPVs have been identified in invasive breast cancers using whole-genome sequencing (166). HPV-positive koilocytes have been identified in breast cancers (167). Koilocytes are HPV infected epithelial cells with characteristic haloes surrounding a small nucleus. HPV E6 and E7 oncoproteins have been identified in breast cancer (138), however, there is a low level of transcription of these oncoproteins (168).

Temporality

High-risk HPVs have been identified in benign breast tissues which subsequently developed HPV-positive breast cancer 1–11 years later in the same patients (166). The prior benign and later breast cancer specimens were from the same individual patients (166). Similarly, HPV-associated cervical infections can precede the development of same type HPV-positive breast cancer in the same patient (169). In a recent study based on the Taiwan National Health Insurance data base, it was shown that women with viral warts were at a 23% higher risk of developing breast cancer when compared with women not diagnosed with viral warts (170). The viral warts were assumed to be associated with HPVs. These observations confirm that HPV infections may precede the development of HPV-positive breast cancer.

Transformation

Human papilloma viruses immortalize and transform human mammary epithelial cells (171). HPV type 16 E6 and E7 oncoproteins convert non-invasive and non-metastatic breast cancer cells to invasive and metastatic phenotypes (172).

Transmission

Human papilloma virus-positive breast cancer is more common in young when compared with older women, an observation which is compatible with sexual transmission of HPVs among sexually active younger women (173). HPVs have been identified in peripheral blood which is a possible means by which they are transferred from infected genitals to the breast (174).

Oncogenic Mechanisms

The prevalence of breast cancer is not increased in immunocompromised patients with AIDS or organ transplants (175). This is in contrast to the fivefold increase in HPV-associated cervical cancer in these patients (175). The implication is that any oncogenic influences of HPVs in breast cancer are indirect or there is a “hit and run” viral influence where the virus initiates cancer or plays a role in cancer development, but then disappears from tumor cells (probably by immune surveillance) by the time the cancer is clinically detected (176). HPVs appear to influence the cell cycle control enzyme APOBEC which leads to genomic instability and ultimately to breast cancer (93, 94). There is high HPV E7 oncoprotein expression in benign breast tissues and low HPV E7 expression in subsequent breast cancer which developed in the same patients (176). These observations are compatible with the viral “hit and run” hypothesis.

Human papilloma viruses may collaborate with other oncogenic viruses such as EBV and MMTV to cause breast cancer. The evidence for collaboration between HPVs and EBVs is limited and mainly consists as an association (22, 177, 178). There is preliminary experimental evidence which supports this notion (179). The influence of HPVs on MMTV and human breast cancer has been discussed in detail under the previous section on MMTV and breast cancer.

Epstein–Barr Virus

Identification of EBV in Human Breast Tissues

Epstein–Barr viruses are the cause of lymphomas and may be associated with additional cancers. Various EBV genes have been identified in breast cancer in a wide range of countries by *in situ* hybridization, immunohistochemistry, *in situ* PCR and by standard liquid PCR (Table 6). The details of these studies are based on a meta-analysis by Richardson et al. (180). Only case control studies with adequate controls are included in Table 6. Many studies of EBVs in breast cancer based on PCR are not valid because standard PCR techniques cannot distinguish between cancer cells and infiltrating lymphocytes. Such studies have not been included in Table 6. All listed studies used methods which identified EBV in breast cancer cells and included non-cancer breast tissue controls. Studies with negative outcomes conducted in locations where other studies had positive outcomes were excluded.

TABLE 6

Reference	Location	Identification technique	Breast cancer	Non-cancer breast control
Salmeron et al. (114)	United Kingdom	PCR, EBV	12/19 (63%)	0/17 (0%)
Bonnet et al. (115)	France	PCR, IHC, EBV	51/70 (73%)	0/30 (0%)
Strom et al. (116)	US	PCR, IHC	14/33 (42%)	0/21 (0%)
Prevedo et al. (117)	Argentina	PCR, IHC	24/38 (63%)	0/17 (0%)
Fawcett et al. (118)	Egypt	PCR, IHC	10/40 (25%)	0/30 (0%)
Joshi et al. (119)	India	IHC	28/51 (55%)	0/30 (0%)
Correia et al. (120)	Argentina	IHC, EBV, PCR	23/71 (32%)	0/48 (0%)
Zhai et al. (121)	Egypt/ Iraq	PCR, EBV	30/50 (60%)	0/30 (0%)
Strom et al. (122)	Australia	EBV, PCR, PCR	5/27 (19%)	0/18 (0%)
Wahab et al. (123)	Sudan	PCR, EBV	18/18 (100%)	0/50 (0%)
El-Nady et al. (124)	Egypt	PCR, IHC	10/42 (24%)	6/42 (14%)
Sharma et al. (125)	India	EBV	20/43 (47%)	0/7 (0%)

There is a consistent identification of EBV in breast cancer when compared with non-cancer breast controls.

DOI: 10.1002/9781118134444.ch66

Table 6. Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) identification in breast cancer (case control studies).

The positive identification of EBV in breast cancer varies from 24 to 100% (Table 6). EBV was identified in non-cancer breast controls in only 2 of 13 studies (Table 6).

Epstein–Barr virus has been identified in over 97% of breast cancer patients and 98% of normal controls by serological methods in two independent studies conducted on Australian and Norwegian subjects (181). In a study conducted in south China, EBV antibody levels were significantly higher in breast cancer patients when compared with controls with an odds ratio of 1.7 (182).

Epidemiology

Epstein–Barr virus is accepted as a major contributor to Hodgkin lymphoma and there are significant correlations between the incidence of both Hodgkin lymphoma and breast cancer which suggests that EBV may also contribute to some breast cancers (183). In a recent study of all subtypes of EBV in peripheral blood mononuclear cells, overall, no differences were identified between patients with breast cancer and controls (184). However, EBV subtype D was associated with an increased breast cancer risk—OR 2.86 (184).

Temporality

Epstein–Barr virus gene sequences have been identified in benign breast tissues prior to the development of EBV-positive breast cancer (185). The prior benign and later breast cancer specimens were from the same individual patients (185).

Transmission

Epstein–Barr virus is mostly transmitted from person to person *via* saliva. Infection is almost universal but occurs later among Western when compared with economically developing communities. Breast epithelial cells can be infected with EBV by cell to cell contact (186).

Oncogenic Mechanisms

Epstein–Barr virus infection predisposes breast epithelial cells to malignant transformation through activation of HER2/HER3 signaling cascades (187). HER2 and HER3 are two of the cellular oncogenes known to be involved in human breast cancer development and associated with a relatively poor prognosis.

Multiple Viruses in Breast Cancer

Epstein–Barr virus and HPV gene sequences are collocated in 32% of breast cancers can be collocated in the same women (22). Glenn et al. have demonstrated that MMTV, HPV, and EBV

gene sequences are present in over 50% of Australian breast cancers and in over 20% of epithelial cells in breast milk from normal lactating women (22). In this same study, MMTV, HPV, and EBV were shown by *in situ* PCR to be located in the same breast cancer cells (22). Corbex et al. have identified EBV and HPVs in the same inflammatory breast cancers among Algerian women (174). Naushad et al. have identified EBV, high-risk HPV, and MMTV in the same breast cancers among Pakistan women (34).

The presence of EBV alongside HPV16 in cervical smears has been shown to correlate with a five to sevenfold increased likelihood of HPV integration into the host genome, suggesting that the presence of EBV may enhance genomic instability of HPV-infected cervical epithelial cells (188). EBV may also play an indirect role by interfering with the immune response to HPV-transformed cells through the production of the viral BCRF1 gene product, an interleukin-10 homolog (189). These observations provide evidence that these viruses can both collaborate to increase their oncogenic potential.

In a recent report, it has been shown that MMTV, high-risk HPVs, BLV, and EBVs may be present in normal or benign breast tissues 1–11 years before the development of breast cancer in which the same viruses have been identified (185). The prior benign and later breast cancer specimens were from the same individual patients (185). These studies were based on the same normal breast (from cosmetic surgery), benign breast and breast cancer tissues from Australian women. The investigations were conducted in laboratories in Pisa and New York (MMTV), Berkeley (BLV), and Sydney (HPV and EBV) (22, 37, 115, 166). MMTV was identified in 3 (18%) of 17 normal controls, 6 (24%) of 25 benign breast specimens and 9 (36%) of 25 breast cancer specimens. BLV was identified in 6 (35%) of 17 normal controls, 18 (78%) of 23 benign breast specimens, and 20 (91%) of 22 breast cancer specimens. High-risk HPVs were identified in 13 (72%) of 17 normal controls, 13 (76%) of 17 benign breast specimens, and 13 (76%) of 17 breast cancer specimens. EBV was not identified in any benign breast specimens but was identified in 3 (25%) of 12 breast cancer specimens. These are important observations as the presence of viruses in breast and other tissues, prior to the development of cancer, is an essential causal criterion (1).

Discussion

Our overall aim in this review has been to evaluate the evidence in studies which employed different techniques rather than relying on one technique such as PCR. PCR based data often receives much criticism mainly because of the well recognized problems of contamination leading to false positive outcomes. This is the reason for our consideration of a range of investigative techniques and why we have been careful about which PCR data is reported in this review. We have concluded that the prevalence of MMTV, BLV, HPV, and EBV is significantly higher in breast cancer tissues when compared with normal or benign control breast tissues. The presence of these infectious agents in normal or benign tissues before the development of cancer is a key criteria for a causal role in cancer (1). MMTV, BLV, HPV, and EBV are present in normal or benign breast tissues prior to the development of the same virus invasive breast cancers in the same patients (185). In our view, each of these oncoviruses has a potential role in human breast cancer.

*Notre objectif général dans cette revue a été d'évaluer les données probantes dans des études qui utilisaient différentes techniques plutôt que de compter sur une technique telle que la PCR. Les données basées sur la PCR font souvent l'objet de nombreuses critiques principalement en raison des problèmes bien connus de contamination conduisant à de faux résultats positifs. C'est la raison pour laquelle nous avons examiné diverses techniques d'enquête et pourquoi nous avons fait attention aux données de RAP qui sont rapportées dans cette revue. Nous avons conclu que la prévalence de MMTV, BLV, HPV et EBV est significativement plus élevée dans les tissus du cancer du sein par rapport aux tissus mammaires normaux ou bénins. La présence de ces agents infectieux dans les tissus normaux ou bénins avant le développement du cancer est un critère clé pour un rôle causal dans le cancer (1). MMTV, BLV, HPV et EBV sont présents dans les tissus mammaires normaux ou bénins avant le développement du même virus, des cancers du sein invasifs chez les mêmes patientes (185). **À notre avis, chacun de ces oncovirus a un rôle potentiel dans le cancer du sein chez l'homme.***

Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus

With respect to MMTV and human breast cancer, the evidence is detailed and comprehensive and meets all the causal criteria except for doubts about the possible absence of an immune response. The parallels in the evidence between MMTV caused mouse mammary tumors and MMTV-like associated human breast cancer, are almost identical with the exception of the likely means of transmission. However, the underlying mechanisms for any oncogenic influences of MMTV in human breast cancer are far from clear. It is likely that any such mechanisms differ in human breast cancer from mouse mammary tumors. Despite this lack of information, it is likely that MMTV-like viruses have a similar oncogenic role in human breast cancer to MMTV in rodent mammary tumors.

However, several authors including Goedert et al., Joshi and Buehring, and Perzova et al. (4, 59, 190) have argued against a role of MMTV in human breast cancer. Goedert et al. did not identify MMTV antibodies in serum from women with proven breast cancer and therefore suggested that MMTV did not have a role in breast cancer (59). The reason for this negative finding is not clear and is in contrast to studies in which MMTV linked antibodies were repeatedly identified (56, 57). Joshi and Buehring have criticized the techniques used in several studies and have suggested the results are not reliable (4). Holland and Pogo have refuted the views of Joshi and Buehring by the presentation of published evidence (191). In our view, this evidence presented by Holland and Pogo is sound. Perzova et al. have recently suggested that the results of many studies of MMTV in breast cancer are contaminated by rodent MMTV gene sequences or by laboratory contamination (190). While such contamination may have occurred in some studies, it is unlikely to have occurred in the many independent laboratories in many countries where these studies have been conducted. In addition, it has been demonstrated in a recent study that there were no rodent MMTV sequences in any human breast cancer specimens (37). Tang and Larsson have recently reviewed studies of oncogenic viruses in a range of human cancers based on whole-genome sequencing (also known as Next Generation Sequencing or massive parallel sequencing) (192). They confirm the identification of MMTV in breast cancer but cannot exclude contamination (192, 193).

Although premature at this stage of research, it is of interest that the development of preventive and therapeutic vaccines against MMTV in humans is a practical proposition. MMTV-associated mouse mammary tumors can be prevented from developing by immunization with purified mammary tumor virus (194–196). MMTV virus envelope peptides have also been used to prevent MMTV-

associated mouse breast cancers (197). The effectiveness of these vaccines is convincing evidence that MMTV is causal in MMTV-associated mouse mammary tumors. Recently, the Hochman group working in Israel, together with the Bevilacqua group in Italy, have identified the MMTV envelope protein as a target for both prevention and treatment of MMTV-associated breast and other cancers (198).

Bovine Leukemia Virus

The possibility that BLV may have a role in human breast cancer is of great importance because of the almost universal life long consumption of beef, cow's milk and dairy products in all Western countries and to an increasing extent in Asian countries such as Japan and China. Almost all of the evidence for such a role has been produced by Buehring et al. at The University of California at Berkeley. There is an urgent need for their work to be replicated and expanded by others.

In view of the emerging information about BLV in human breast cancer, veterinary authorities currently believe it is prudent to encourage BLV eradication programs in the dairy industry on a global basis (199).

La possibilité que BLV puisse jouer un rôle dans le cancer du sein chez l'homme revêt une grande importance en raison de la consommation quasi universelle à long terme de bœuf, de lait de vache et de produits laitiers dans tous les pays occidentaux et, de plus en plus, dans des pays asiatiques tels que le Japon et la Chine. Presque toutes les preuves d'un tel rôle ont été produites par Buehring et al. à l'Université de Californie à Berkeley. Il est urgent que leur travail soit reproduit et étendu par d'autres.

À la lumière des nouvelles informations sur le BLV dans le cancer du sein humain, les autorités vétérinaires estiment actuellement qu'il est prudent d'encourager les programmes d'éradication du BLV dans l'industrie laitière à l'échelle mondiale (199).

Human Papilloma Viruses

The evidence for a role of HPVs in breast cancer is substantial but not conclusive. Because the prevalence of breast cancer is not increased in immunocompromised patients with AIDS or organ transplants any oncogenic influences of HPVs in breast cancer are probably indirect. There may be a "hit and run" viral influence where the virus initiates cancer or plays a role in cancer development, but then disappears from tumor cells (probably by immune surveillance) by the time the cancer is clinically detected (176). HPVs also appear to influence the cell cycle control enzyme APOBEC which leads to genomic instability and ultimately to breast cancer (93, 94).

Human papilloma viruses may collaborate with other oncogenic viruses such as EBV and MMTV to cause breast cancer. The evidence for collaboration between HPVs and EBVs is limited and mainly consists as an association (22, 177, 178). There is preliminary experimental evidence which supports this notion (179). The indirect influence of HPVs on MMTV and human breast cancer has been discussed in detail under the previous section on MMTV and breast cancer.

In a recent review, Tang and Larsson state "Frequent clonal presence and expression of EBV and HPV (in breast cancers) can be ruled out, considering that transcriptomic data from more than 800 breast tumors have now been analyzed without any significant levels of these viruses being detected." These conclusions are based on whole-genome sequencing studies published in 2013

(43). Since that time a study, using whole-genome sequencing and also based on the same TCGA series of over 800 breast tumors as the Tang et al. study, has confirmed the presence of high-risk HPVs (166). These differences in results may be because HPVs in breast cancer do not appear to express transcripts which potentially produce oncogenic proteins (193). It is possible that HPVs have an indirect oncogenic influence on breast cancer *via* their influence on APOBEC enzymes (93, 94).

Epstein–Barr Virus

The higher prevalence of EBV in breast cancer when compared with controls is consistent. Although EBV gene sequences have been identified in benign breast tissues prior to the development of EBV-positive breast cancer, the importance of this observation is lessened because of the ubiquitous presence of EBV. Of more importance is the recognition that EBV infection predisposes breast epithelial cells to malignant transformation through activation of HER2/HER3 signaling cascades (189). The apparent collaboration between EBV and HPVs is of considerable interest but requires additional investigation (22, 177, 178). This evidence is substantial but not conclusive.

Several of these four oncoviruses are collocated in breast cancer cells and may collaborate with each other to increase their oncogenic potential. HPVs and EBV can be collocated in breast cancer cells (22). MMTV gene sequences may also be collocated with HPVs and EBVs in the same breast cancer cells (22). Because the prevalence of BLV is high in both benign breast and later breast cancer cells (78% of benign breast and 91% of breast cancer specimens), it is likely that this virus is also collocated with other virus positive benign and breast cancer cells (115). However, there is no direct evidence of such collocation. Nor is there evidence that such collocation of these viruses leads to increased oncogenic influences in breast cancer. On the other hand, in studies of women with breast cancer in Syria, it was shown that a coprevalence of EBVs and high-risk HPVs in 32% of the breast tumors was associated with high grade invasive ductal breast cancers (178). In addition there is preliminary experimental evidence that HPVs and EBVs collaborate (179). It is not known how different viruses cooperate to induce cancer.

It is possible that different viruses are associated with different types of breast cancer. For example MMTV positive human breast cancers frequently have the same histological characteristics as MMTV positive mouse mammary tumors (30, 78). These tumors are very similar to many breast ductal carcinoma *in situ* breast cancers and special breast cancer types such as medullary carcinoma, adenoid cystic carcinoma and neuro-endocrine carcinoma (78, 200, 201). In addition putative koilocytes have been observed in HPV-associated breast cancers (167).

It is important to note that the prevalence of breast cancer is not increased in immunocompromised patients with AIDS or organ transplants (175). This is in contrast to the fivefold increase in HPV-associated cervical cancer in these patients (175). The implication is that any oncogenic influences of these viruses in breast cancer are indirect or there is a “hit and run” viral influence. There is evidence in support of both notions. HPVs appear to influence the cell cycle control enzyme APOBEC which leads to genomic instability and ultimately to breast cancer (93). There is high HPV E7 oncoprotein expression in benign breast tissues and low HPV E7 expression in subsequent breast cancer which developed in the same patients (176).

Alternative Breast Cancer Causal Hypotheses

In addition to the viral causal hypothesis for breast cancer, there are two other main hypotheses. These are (1) a genetic cause and (2) aberrant stem cells. These three hypotheses are not necessarily mutually exclusive as viruses, genetics, and stem cells could conceivably each contribute to breast cancer. It is also likely that subsets of breast cancers arise due to different initiation events. Thus it is unlikely there is only one cause of breast cancers and more likely it arises as a consequence of a number of events occurring.

Genetics

During the past two decades, there has been an intense research effort directed at finding a genetic cause of breast cancer. It is clear that there is a strong genetically based susceptibility to develop breast cancer ([202](#), [203](#)). This disposition appears in many cases to be familial ([204](#)). The classical example is inheritance of the BRCA 1 and 2 genes. However, there is no apparent evidence that normal or mutated genes are the initial cause of breast cancer.

Aberrant Stem Cells

The cancer stem cell theory postulates that tumors carry a subpopulation of cells that share properties of stem cells including the ability to self-renew and show indefinite replicative potential as well as initiate tumor formation, indefinite replicative potential. However, despite intense research efforts there is no apparent evidence that normal or aberrant stem cells are the initial cause of breast cancer ([205](#), [206](#)).

Conclusion

The influence of oncogenic viruses is currently the major plausible hypothesis for a direct cause of human breast cancer. Genetic studies have demonstrated that there are variations in the susceptibility to develop breast cancer of which the BRCA 1 and 2 genes are the most notorious. However, although there is evidence of genetic predisposition, and cancer in general is a culmination of events, there is no evidence that inherited genetic traits are causal.

Mouse mammary tumor virus and human breast cancer—the evidence is detailed and comprehensive but cannot be regarded as conclusive.

Bovine leukemia virus and human breast cancer—the evidence is limited. However, in view of the emerging information about BLV in human breast cancer, it is prudent to encourage a BLV eradication program in the dairy industry. This has been successfully achieved by voluntary approaches in Australia and several European countries.

Human papilloma viruses and breast cancer—the evidence is substantial but not conclusive. The availability of effective preventive vaccines is a major advantage and their use should be encouraged.

Epstein–Barr virus and breast cancer—the evidence is also substantial but not conclusive. Currently, there are no practical means of either prevention or treatment.

L'influence des virus oncogènes est actuellement l'hypothèse plausible principale d'une cause directe du cancer du sein chez l'homme. Des études génétiques ont montré qu'il existe des variations dans la susceptibilité à développer un cancer du sein, parmi lesquelles les gènes BRCA 1

et 2 sont les plus notoires. Cependant, bien qu'il existe des preuves de prédisposition génétique et que le cancer en général soit le point culminant d'événements, il n'y a aucune preuve que les traits génétiques hérités soient causals.

Virus de la tumeur mammaire de souris et cancer du sein chez l'homme - les preuves sont détaillées et complètes mais ne peuvent être considérées comme concluantes.

Virus de la leucémie bovine et cancer du sein chez l'homme - les preuves sont limitées. Cependant, compte tenu des informations récentes sur le VBB dans le cancer du sein humain, il est prudent d'encourager un programme d'éradication du VBB dans l'industrie laitière. Cet objectif a été atteint avec succès par des approches volontaires en Australie et dans plusieurs pays européens.

Virus du papillome humain et cancer du sein - les preuves sont substantielles mais non concluantes. La disponibilité de vaccins préventifs efficaces est un avantage majeur et leur utilisation devrait être encouragée.

Virus Epstein-Barr et cancer du sein - les preuves sont également substantielles mais non concluantes. Actuellement, il n'existe aucun moyen pratique de prévention ou de traitement.

Author Contributions

JL, BS, and WG added to the concepts, reviewed the literature, and participated in the preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

Jack Hirsh of McMaster University, Canada and Walter H Gunzburg of the University Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria gave valuable advice.

Abbreviations

MMTV, mouse mammary tumor virus; BLV, bovine leukemia virus; HPV, human papilloma virus; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; LTR, long terminal repeat; gag, group specific antigen; pol, polymerase; env, envelope; TCGA, the cancer genome atlas; GP, glycoprotein.

References

1. Hill AB. The environment and disease: association or causation? *Proc R Soc Med* (1965) 58:295–330.

[Google Scholar](#)

2. Vinner L, Mourier T, Friis-Nielsen J, Gniadecki R, Dybkaer K, Rosenberg J, et al. Investigation of human cancers for retrovirus by low-stringency target enrichment and high-throughput sequencing. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:13201. doi:10.1038/srep13201

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

3. Khan NA, Castillo A, Koriyama C, Kijima Y, Umekita Y, Ohi Y, et al. Human papillomavirus detected in female breast carcinomas in Japan. *Br J Cancer* (2008) 99:408–14. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604502

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

4. Joshi D, Buehring GC. Are viruses associated with human breast cancer? Scrutinizing the molecular evidence. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2012) 135(1):1–15. doi:10.1007/s10549-011-1921-4

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

5. Bittner JJ. Some possible effects of nursing on the mammary gland tumor incidence in mice. *Science* (1936) 84(2172):162. doi:10.1126/science.84.2172.162

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

6. Graff S, Moore DH, Stanley WM, Randall HT, Haagensen CD. Isolation of mouse mammary carcinoma virus. *Cancer* (1949) 2:755–62. doi:10.1002/1097-0142(194909)2:5<755::AID-CNCR2820020503>3.0.CO;2-4

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

7. Duesberg PH, Blair PB. Isolation of the nucleic acid of mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1966) 55:1490–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.55.6.1490

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

8. Dudley JP, Golovkina TV, Ross SR. Lessons learned from mouse mammary tumor virus in animal models. *ILAR J* (2016) 57:12–23. doi:10.1093/ilar/ilv044

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

9. Szabo S, Haislip AM, Traina-Dorge V, Costin JM, Crawford BE II, Wilson RB, et al. Microsc human, rhesus macaque, and feline sequences highly similar to mouse mammary tumor virus sequences. *Microsc Res Tech* (2005) 68(3–4):209–21. doi:10.1002/jemt.20233

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

10. Axel R, Schlom J, Spiegelman S. Presence in human breast cancer of RNA homologous to mouse mammary tumour virus RNA. *Nature* (1972) 235:32–6. doi:10.1038/235032a0

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

11. Crépin M, Lidereau R, Chermann JC, Pouillart P, Magdamenat H, Montagnier L. Sequences related to mouse mammary tumor virus genome in tumor cells and lymphocytes from patients with breast cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (1984) 118:324–31. doi:10.1016/0006-291X(84)91104-5

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

12. Franklin GC, Chretien S, Hanson IM, Rochefort H, May FE, Westley BR. Expression of human sequences related to those of mouse mammary tumor virus. *J Virol* (1988) 62:1203–10.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

13. Szakacs JG, Moscinski LC. Sequence homology of deoxyribonucleic acid to mouse mammary tumor virus genome in human breast tumors. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* (1991) 21:402–12.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

14. Salmons B, Lawson JS, Günzburg WH. Recent developments linking retroviruses to human breast cancer: infectious agent, enemy within or both? *J Gen Virol* (2014) 95(Pt 12):2589–93. doi:10.1099/vir.0.070631-0

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

15. Wang Y, Holland JF, Bleiweiss IJ, Melana S, Liu X, Pelisson I, et al. Detection of mammary tumor virus env gene-like sequences in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* (1995) 55:5173–9.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

16. Callahan R, Drohan W, Tronick S, Schlom J. Detection and cloning of human DNA sequences related to the mouse mammary tumor virus genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1982) 79:5503–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.79.18.5503

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

17. May FE, Westley BR, Rochefort H, Buetti E, Diggelmann H. Mouse mammary tumour virus related sequences are present in human DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* (1983) 11:4127–39. doi:10.1093/nar/11.12.4127

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

18. Westley B, May FE. The human genome contains multiple sequences of varying homology to mouse mammary tumour virus DNA. *Gene* (1984) 28:221–7. doi:10.1016/0378-1119(84)90259-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

19. Wang F, Hou J, Shen Q, Yue Y, Xie F, Wang X, et al. Mouse mammary tumor virus-like virus infection and the risk of human breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Am J Transl Res* (2014) 6:248–66.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

20. Ford CE, Faedo M, Rawlinson WD. Mouse mammary tumor virus-like RNA transcripts and DNA are found in affected cells of human breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10:7284–9. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0767

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

21. Mazzanti CM, Al Hamad M, Fanelli G, Scatena C, Zammarchi F, Zavaglia K, et al. A mouse mammary tumor virus env-like exogenous sequence is strictly related to progression of human sporadic breast carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* (2011) 179:2083–90. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.06.046

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

22. Glenn WK, Heng B, Delprado W, Iacopetta B, Whitaker NJ, Lawson JS. Epstein-Barr virus, human papillomavirus and mouse mammary tumour virus as multiple viruses in breast cancer. *PLoS One* (2012) 7:e48788. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048788

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

23. Etkind P, Du J, Khan A, Pillitteri J, Wiernik PH. Mouse mammary tumor virus-like env gene sequences in human breast tumors and in a lymphoma of a breast cancer patient. *Clin Cancer Res* (2000) 6:1273–8.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

24. Melana SM, Holland JF, Pogo BG. Search for mouse mammary tumor virus-like env sequences in cancer and normal breast from the same individuals. *Clin Cancer Res* (2001) 7:283–4.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

25. Melana SM, Picconi MA, Rossi C, Mural J, Alonio LV, Teyssié A, et al. Detection of murine mammary tumor virus (MMTV) env gene-like sequences in breast cancer from Argentine patients. *Medicina (B Aires)* (2002) 62:323–7.

[Google Scholar](#)

26. Ford CE, Tran DD, Deng YM, Rawlinson WD, Lawson JS. Mouse mammary tumour like virus prevalence in breast tumours of Australian and Vietnamese women. *Clin Cancer Res* (2003) 9:1118–20.

[Google Scholar](#)

27. Zammarchi F, Pistello M, Piersigilli A, Murr R, Di Cristofano C, Naccarato AG, et al. MMTV-like sequences in human breast cancer: a fluorescent PCR/laser microdissection approach. *J Pathol* (2006) 209:436–44. doi:10.1002/path.1997

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

28. Bindra A, Muradrasoli S, Kisekka R, Nordgren H, Wärnberg F, Blomberg J. Search for DNA of exogenous mouse mammary tumor virus-related virus in human breast cancer samples. *J Gen Virol* (2007) 88:1806–9. doi:10.1099/vir.0.82767-0

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

29. Hachana M, Trimeche M, Ziadi S, Amara K, Gaddas N, Mokni M, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of the MMTV-like associated breast carcinomas in Tunisia. *Cancer Lett* (2008) 271:222–30. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2008.06.001

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

30. Lawson JS, Glenn WK, Salmons B, Ye Y, Heng B, Moody P, et al. Mouse mammary tumor virus-like sequences in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* (2010) 70(9):3576–85. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-4160

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

31. Slaoui M, El Mzibri M, Razine R, Qmichou Z, Attaleb M, Amrani M. Detection of MMTV-Like sequences in Moroccan breast cancer cases. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2014) 9:37. doi:10.1186/1750-9378-9-37

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

32. Cedro-Tanda A, Córdova-Solis A, Juárez-Cedillo T, Pina-Jiménez E, Hernández-Caballero ME, Moctezuma-Meza C, et al. Prevalence of HMTV in breast carcinomas and unaffected tissue from Mexican women. *BMC Cancer* (2014) 14:942. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-14-942

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

33. Reza MA, Reza MH, Mahdiyeh L, Mehdi F, Hamid ZN. Evaluation frequency of Merkel Cell Polyoma, Epstein-Barr and mouse mammary tumor viruses in patients with breast cancer in Kerman, southeast of Iran. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* (2015) 16:7351–7. doi:10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.16.7351

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

34. Naushad W, Surriya O, Sadia H. Prevalence of EBV, HPV and MMTV in Pakistani breast cancer patients: a possible etiological role of viruses in breast cancer. *Infect Genet Evol* (2017) 54:230–7. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2017.07.010

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

35. Shariatpanahi S, Farahani N, Salehi AR, Salehi R. High prevalence of mouse mammary tumor virus-like gene sequences in breast cancer samples of Iranian women. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* (2017) 36(10):621–30. doi:10.1080/15257770.2017.1360498

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

36. Stewart THM, Sage RD, Stewart AFR, Cameron DW. Breast cancer incidence highest in the range of one species of house mouse, *Mus domesticus*. *Br J Cancer* (2000) 82:446–51. doi:10.1054/bjoc.1999.0941

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

37. Nartey T, Mazzanti CM, Melana S, Glenn WK, Bevilacqua G, Holland JF, et al. Mouse mammary tumor-like virus (MMTV) is present in human breast tissue before development of virally associated breast cancer. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2017) 12:1. doi:10.1186/s13027-017-0126-9

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

38. Fukuoka H, Moriuchi M, Yano H, Nagayasu T, Moriuchi H. No association of mouse mammary tumor virus-related retrovirus with Japanese cases of breast cancer. *J Med Virol* (2008) 80:1447–51. doi:10.1002/jmv.21247

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

39. Park DJ, Southey MC, Giles GG, Hopper JL. No evidence of MMTV-like env sequences in specimens from the Australian Breast Cancer Family Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2011) 125:229–35. doi:10.1007/s10549-010-0946-4

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

40. Morales-Sánchez A, Molina-Muñoz T, Martínez-López JL, Hernández-Sancén P, Mantilla A, Leal YA, et al. No association between Epstein-Barr virus and mouse mammary tumor virus with breast cancer in Mexican women. *Sci Rep* (2013) 3:2970. doi:10.1038/srep02970

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

41. Naushad W, Bin Rahat T, Gomez MK, Ashiq MT, Younas M, Sadia H. Detection and identification of mouse mammary tumor virus-like DNA sequences in blood and breast tissues of breast cancer patients. *Tumour Biol* (2014) 35:8077–86. doi:10.1007/s13277-014-1972-3

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

42. Hizi A, Herzig E. dUTPase: the frequently overlooked enzyme encoded by many retroviruses. *Retrovirology* (2015) 12:70. doi:10.1186/s12977-015-0198-9

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

43. Tang KW, Alaei-Mahabadi B, Samuelsson T, Lindh M, Larsson E. The landscape of viral expression and host gene fusion and adaptation in human cancer. *Nat Commun* (2013) 4:2513. doi:10.1038/ncomms3513

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

44. Larsson Lab. (2013). Available from: http://larssonlab.org/tcga-viruses/report_BRCA.php

[Google Scholar](#)

45. Liu B, Wang Y, Melana SM, Pelisson I, Najfeld V, Holland JF, et al. Identification of a proviral structure in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61:1754–9.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

46. Melana SM, Nepomnaschy I, Sakalian M, Abbott A, Hasa J, Holland JF, et al. Characterization of viral particles isolated from primary cultures of human breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67:8960–5. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3892

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

47. Moore R, Dixon M, Smith R, Peters G, Dickson C. Complete nucleotide sequence of a milk-transmitted mouse mammary tumor virus: two frameshift suppression events are required for translation of gag and pol. *J Virol* (1987) 61:480–90.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

48. Johal H, Faedo M, Faltas J, Lau A, Mousina R, Cozzi P, et al. DNA of mouse mammary tumor virus-like virus is present in human tumors influenced by hormones. *J Med Virol* (2010) 82:1044–50. doi:10.1002/jmv.21754

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

49. Deligdisch L, Marin T, Lee AT, Etkind P, Holland JF, Melana S, et al. Human mammary tumor virus (HMTV) in endometrial carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* (2013) 23:1423–8. doi:10.1097/IGC.0b013e3182980fc5

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

50. Xu L, Sakalian M, Shen Z, Loss G, Neuberger J, Mason A. Cloning the human betaretrovirus proviral genome from patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* (2004) 39:151–6. doi:10.1002/hep.20024

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

51. Klein A, Wessel R, Graessmann M, Jürgens M, Petersen I, Schmutzler R, et al. Comparison of gene expression data from human and mouse breast cancers: identification of a conserved breast tumor gene set. *Int J Cancer* (2007) 121:683–8. doi:10.1002/ijc.22630

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

52. Callahan R, Mudunur U, Bargo S, Raafat A, McCurdy D, Boulanger C, et al. Genes affected by mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) proviral insertions in mouse mammary tumors are deregulated or mutated in primary human mammary tumors. *Oncotarget* (2012) 3:1320–34. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.682

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

53. Melana SM, Nepomnaschy I, Hasa J, Djougarian A, Djougarian A, Holland JF, et al. Detection of human mammary tumor virus proteins in human breast cancer cells. *J Virol Methods* (2010) 163:157–61. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.09.015

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

54. Tomana M, Kajdos AH, Niedermeier W, Durkin WJ, Mestecky J. Antibodies to mouse mammary tumor virus-related antigen in sera of patients with breast carcinoma. *Cancer* (1981) 47:2696–703. doi:10.1002/1097-0142(19810601)47:11<2696::AID-CNCR2820471128>3.0.CO;2-7

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

55. Mesa-Tejada R, Keydar I, Ramanarayanan M, Ohno T, Fenoglio C, Spiegelman S. Immunohistochemical evidence for RNA virus related components in human breast cancer. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* (1979) 9:202–11.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

56. Day NK, Witkin SS, Sarkar NH, Kinne D, Jussawalla DJ, Levin A, et al. Antibodies reactive with murine mammary tumor virus in sera of patients with breast cancer: geographic and family studies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1981) 78:2483–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.78.4.2483

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

57. Lopez DM, Parks WP, Silverman MA, Distasio JA. Lymphoproliferative responses to mouse mammary tumor virus in lymphocyte subsets of breast cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1981) 67:353–8.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

58. Dion AS, Girardi AJ, Williams CC, Pomenti AA. Serologic responses to murine mammary tumor virus (MuMTV) in MuMTV-exposed laboratory personnel. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1986) 76:611–9. doi:10.1093/jnci/76.4.611

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

59. Goedert JJ, Rabkin CS, Ross SR. Prevalence of serologic reactivity against four strains of mouse mammary tumour virus among US women with breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* (2006) 94:548–51. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602977

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

60. Wei WZ, Gill RF, Wang H. Mouse mammary tumor virus associated antigens and superantigens – immuno-molecular correlates of neoplastic progression. *Semin Cancer Biol* (1993) 4:205–13.

[Google Scholar](#)

61. Wang Y, Jiang JD, Xu D, Li Y, Qu C, Holland JF, et al. A mouse mammary tumor virus-like long terminal repeat superantigen in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* (2004) 64:4105–11.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-3880

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

62. Labrecque N, McGrath H, Subramanyam M, Huber BT, Sékaly RP. Human T cells respond to mouse mammary tumor virus-encoded superantigen: V beta restriction and conserved evolutionary features. *J Exp Med* (1993) 177:1735–43. doi:10.1084/jem.177.6.1735

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

63. Svec J, Hlavay E, Matoska J, Thurzo V. Hormone-responsive genes of the mouse mammary tumor virus. *Czech Med* (1979) 2:198–212.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

64. Briand P. Hormone-dependent mammary tumors in mice and rats as a model for human breast cancer. *Anticancer Res* (1983) 3:273–81.

[Google Scholar](#)

65. McGrath CM, Jones RF. Hormonal induction of mammary tumor viruses and its implications for carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* (1978) 38(11 Pt 2):4112–25.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

66. Wang Y, Melana SM, Baker B, Bleiweiss I, Fernandez-Cobo M, Mandeli JF, et al. High prevalence of MMTV-like env gene sequences in gestational breast cancer. *Med Oncol* (2003) 20:233–6. doi:10.1385/MO:20:3:233

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

67. Indik S, Günzburg WH, Kulich P, Salmons B, Rouault F. Rapid spread of mouse mammary tumor virus in cultured human breast cells. *Retrovirology* (2007) 4:73. doi:10.1186/1742-4690-4-73

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

68. Indik S, Günzburg WH, Salmons B, Rouault F. Mouse mammary tumor virus infects human cells. *Cancer Res* (2005) 65:6651–9. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2609

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

69. Konstantoulas CJ, Indik S. C3H strain of mouse mammary tumour virus, like GR strain, infects human mammary epithelial cells, albeit less efficiently than murine mammary epithelial cells. *J Gen Virol* (2015) 96(Pt 3):650–62. doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000006

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

70. Faschinger A, Rouault F, Sollner J, Lukas A, Salmons B, Günzburg WH, et al. Mouse mammary tumor virus integration site selection in human and mouse genomes. *J Virol* (2008) 82(3):13. doi:10.1128/JVI.02098-07

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

71. Etkind PR, Stewart AF, Wiernik PH. Mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV)-like DNA sequences in the breast tumors of father, mother, and daughter. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2008) 3:2. doi:10.1186/1750-9378-3-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

72. Johal H, Ford C, Glenn W, Heads J, Lawson J, Rawlinson W. Mouse mammary tumor like virus sequences in breast milk from healthy lactating women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2011) 129:149–55. doi:10.1007/s10549-011-1421-6

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

73. Nartey T, Moran H, Marin T, Arcaro KF, Anderton DL, Etkind P, et al. Human mammary tumor virus (HMTV) sequences in human milk. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2014) 9:20. doi:10.1186/1750-9378-9-20

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

74. Mazzanti CM, Lessi F, Armogida I, Zavaglia K, Franceschi S, Al Hamad M, et al. Human saliva as route of inter-human infection for mouse mammary tumor virus. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6:18355–63. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4567

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

75. Hsu WL, Lin HY, Chiou SS, Chang CC, Wang SP, Lin KH, et al. Mouse mammary tumor virus-like nucleotide sequences in canine and feline mammary tumors. *J Clin Microbiol* (2010) 48:4354–62. doi:10.1128/JCM.01157-10

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

76. Laumbacher B, Fellerhoff B, Herzberger B, Wank R. Do dogs harbour risk factors for human breast cancer? *Med Hypotheses* (2006) 67:21–6. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2006.01.016

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

77. Nguyen F, Peña L, Ibisch C, Loussouarn D, Gama A, Rieder N, et al. Canine invasive mammary carcinomas as models of human breast cancer. Part 1: natural history and prognostic factors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2017). doi:10.1007/s10549-017-4548-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

78. Lawson JS, Tran DD, Carpenter E, Ford CE, Rawlinson WD, Whitaker NJ, et al. Presence of mouse mammary tumour-like virus gene sequences may be associated with specific human breast cancer morphology. *J Clin Pathol* (2006) 59:1287–92. doi:10.1136/jcp.2005.035907

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

79. Dunn T. Morphology of mammary tumors in mice. In: Homburger F, editor. *Physiopathology of Cancer*. New York: A. J. Phiebig (1959). p. 38–83.

[Google Scholar](#)

80. Wellings SR. A hypothesis of the origin of human breast cancer from the terminal ductal lobular unit. *Pathol Res Pract* (1980) 166:515–35. doi:10.1016/S0344-0338(80)80248-2

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

81. Hamilton JM. Comparative aspects of mammary tumors. *Adv Cancer Res* (1974) 19:1–45. doi:10.1016/S0065-230X(08)60051-2

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

82. Katz E, Lareef MH, Rassa JC, Grande SM, King LB, Russo J, et al. MMTV env encodes an ITAM responsible for transformation of mammary epithelial cells in three-dimensional culture. *J Exp Med* (2005) 201:431–9. doi:10.1084/jem.20041471

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

83. Nusse R, Varmus HE. Many tumors induced by the mouse mammary tumor virus contain a provirus integrated in the same region of the host genome. *Cell* (1982) 31:99–109.

[Google Scholar](#)

84. Gattelli A, Zimmerlin MN, Meiss RP, Castilla LH, Kordon EC. Selection of early-occurring mutations dictates hormone-independent progression in mouse mammary tumor lines. *J Virol* (2006) 80:11409–15. doi:10.1128/JVI.00234-06

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

85. Ross SR, Schmidt JW, Katz E, Cappelli L, Hultine S, Gimotty P, et al. An immunoreceptor tyrosine activation motif in the mouse mammary tumor virus envelope protein plays a role in virus-induced mammary tumors. *J Virol* (2006) 80:9000–8. doi:10.1128/JVI.00788-06

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

86. Feldman D, Roniger M, Bar-Sinai A, Braitbard O, Natan C, Love DC, et al. The signal peptide of mouse mammary tumor virus-env: a phosphoprotein tumor modulator. *Mol Cancer Res* (2012) 10:1077–86. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-11-0581

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

87. Salmons B, Gunzburg WH. Tumorigenesis mechanisms of a putative human breast cancer retrovirus. *Austin Virol Retrovirol* (2015) 2(1):1010.

[Google Scholar](#)

88. Monot M, Erny A, Gineys B, Desloire S, Dolmazon C, Aublin-Gex A, et al. Early steps of Jaagsiekte sheep retrovirus-mediated cell transformation involve the interaction between env and the RALBP1 cellular protein. *J Virol* (2015) 89:8462–73. doi:10.1128/JVI.00590-15

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

89. Kincaid RP, Panicker NG, Lozano MM, Sullivan CS, Dudley JP, Mustafa F. MMTV does not encode viral microRNAs but alters the levels of cancer-associated host microRNAs. *Virology* (2017) 513:180–7. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2017.09.030

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

90. Okeoma CM, Lovsin N, Peterlin BM, Ross SR. APOBEC3 inhibits mouse mammary tumour virus replication in vivo. *Nature* (2007) 445:927–30. doi:10.1038/nature05540

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

91. Okeoma CM, Huegel AL, Lingappa J, Feldman MD, Ross SR. APOBEC3 proteins expressed in mammary epithelial cells are packaged into retroviruses and can restrict transmission of milk-borne virions. *Cell Host Microbe* (2010) 8:534–43. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2010.11.003

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

92. MacMillan AL, Kohli RM, Ross SR. APOBEC3 inhibition of mouse mammary tumor virus infection: the role of cytidine deamination versus inhibition of reverse transcription. *J Virol* (2013) 87:4808–17. doi:10.1128/JVI.00112-13

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

93. Ohba K, Ichiyama K, Yajima M, Gemma N, Nikaido M, Wu Q, et al. In vivo and in vitro studies suggest a possible involvement of HPV infection in the early stage of breast carcinogenesis via APOBEC3B induction. *PLoS One* (2014) 9:e97787. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097787

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

94. Vieira VC, Leonard B, White EA, Starrett GJ, Temiz NA, Lorenz LD, et al. Human papillomavirus E6 triggers upregulation of the antiviral and cancer genomic DNA deaminase APOBEC3B. *MBio* (2014) 5:e2234–2214. doi:10.1128/mBio.02234-14

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

95. Roberts SA, Lawrence MS, Klimczak LJ, Grimm SA, Fargo D, Stojanov P, et al. An APOBEC cytidine deaminase mutagenesis pattern is widespread in human cancers. *Nat Genet* (2013) 45:970–6. doi:10.1038/ng.2702

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

96. Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Alexandrov LB, Petljak M, Butler AP, Bolli N, et al. Association of a germline copy number polymorphism of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B with burden of putative APOBEC dependent mutations in breast cancer. *Nat Genet* (2014) 46:487–91. doi:10.1038/ng.2955

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

97. Burns MB, Lackey L, Carpenter MA, Rathore A, Land AM, Leonard B, et al. APOBEC3B is an enzymatic source of mutation in breast cancer. *Nature* (2013) 494:366–70. doi:10.1038/nature11881

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

98. Lefebvre C, Bachelot T, Filleron T, Pedrero M, Campone M, Soria JC, et al. Mutational profile of metastatic breast cancers: a retrospective analysis. *PLoS Med* (2016) 13:e1002201. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002201

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

99. Moore DH, Charney J, Kramarsky B, Lasfargues EY, Sarkar NH, Brennan MJ, et al. Search for a human breast cancer virus. *Nature* (1971) 229:611–4. doi:10.1038/229611a0

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

100. Feller WF, Chopra HC. A small virus-like particle observed in human breast cancer by means of electron microscopy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1968) 40:1359–73.

[Google Scholar](#)

101. Nishio M, Xu L, Sasaki M, Haga S, Okumoto M, Mori N, et al. Complete nucleotide sequence of mouse mammary tumor virus from JYG Chinese wild mice: absence of bacterial insertion sequences in the cloned viral gag gene. *Breast Cancer* (1994) 1:89–94. doi:10.1007/BF02967037

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

102. Imai S. Mouse mammary tumor virus and mammary tumorigenesis in wild mice. *Pathol Int* (1996) 46:919–32. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1827.1996.tb03570.x

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

103. Rongey RW, Hlavackova A, Lara S, Estes J, Gardner MB. Types B and C RNA virus in breast tissue and milk of wild mice. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1973) 50:1581–9. doi:10.1093/jnci/50.6.1581

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

104. Keydar I, Mesa-Tejada R, Ramanarayanan M, Ohno T, Fenoglio C, Hu R, et al. Detection of viral proteins in mouse mammary tumors by immunoperoxidase staining of paraffin sections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1978) 75:1524–8. doi:10.1073/pnas.75.3.1524

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

105. Arthur LO, Bauer RF, Orme LS, Fine DL. Coexistence of the mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) major glycoprotein and natural antibodies to MMTV in sera of mammary tumor-bearing mice. *Virology* (1978) 87:266–75. doi:10.1016/0042-6822(78)90132-0

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

106. Haga S, Imai S, Morimoto J, Okumoto M, Iwai M, Iwai Y, et al. Mouse mammary tumor virus proviral integration in the DD/Tbr Mice. *Breast Cancer* (1994) 1:11–6. doi:10.1007/BF02967369

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

107. Lushnikova AA, Kryukova IN, Rotin DL, Lubchenko LN. Detection of the env MMTV-homologous sequences in mammary carcinoma patient intestine lymphoid tissue. *Dokl Biol Sci* (2004) 399:423–6. doi:10.1007/s10630-005-0001-5

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

108. Schwartz I, Levy D. Pathobiology of bovine leukemia virus. *Vet Res* (1994) 25:521–36.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

109. US Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Services, Veterinary Services, Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health. *Info Sheet: Bovine Leukosis Virus (BLV) on U.S. Dairy Operations – 2007*. Riverdale, Maryland: U.S. Department of Agriculture (2008).

[Google Scholar](#)

110. Gutiérrez G, Alvarez I, Politzki R, Lomónaco M, Dus Santos MJ, Rondelli F, et al. Natural progression of bovine leukemia virus infection in Argentinean dairy cattle. *Vet Microbiol* (2011) 151:255–63. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.03.035

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

111. Dairy Australia. (2012). Available from: <http://www.dairyaustralia.com.au>

[Google Scholar](#)

112. Giovanna M, Ulloa JC, Uribe AM, Gutierrez MF. Bovine leukemia virus gene segment detected in human breast tissue. *Open J Med Microbiol* (2013) 3:84–90. doi:10.4236/ojmm.2013.31013

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

113. Buehring GC, Shen HM, Jensen HM, Choi KY, Sun D, Nuovo G. Bovine leukemia virus DNA in human breast tissue. *Emerg Infect Dis* (2014) 20:772–82. doi:10.3201/eid2005.131298

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

114. Buehring GC, Shen HM, Jensen HM, Jin DL, Hudes M, Block G. Exposure to bovine leukemia virus is associated with breast cancer: a case-control study. *PLoS One* (2015) 10:e0134304. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134304

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

115. Buehring GC, Shen H, Schwartz DA, Lawson JS. Bovine leukemia virus linked to breast cancer in Australian women and identified before breast cancer development. *PLoS One* (2017) 12:e0179367. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0179367

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

116. Baltzell KA, Shen HM, Krishnamurty S, Sison JD, Nuovo GJ, Buehring GC. Bovine leukemia virus linked to breast cancer but not coinfection with human papillomavirus: case-control study of women in Texas. *Cancer* (2017). doi:10.1002/cncr.31169

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

117. Altaner C, Altanerová V, Bán J, Niwa O, Yokoro K. Human cells of neural origin are permissive for bovine leukemia virus. *Neoplasma* (1989) 36:691–5.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

118. Gillet NA, Willems L. Whole genome sequencing of 51 breast cancers reveals that tumors are devoid of bovine leukemia virus DNA. *Retrovirology* (2016) 13:75. doi:10.1186/s12977-016-0308-3

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

119. Buehring GC, Philpott SM, Choi KY. Humans have antibodies reactive with Bovine leukemia virus. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* (2003) 19:1105–13. doi:10.1089/088922203771881202

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

120. Zhang R, Jiang J, Sun W, Zhang J, Huang K, Gu X, et al. Lack of association between bovine leukemia virus and breast cancer in Chinese patients. *Breast Cancer Res* (2016) 18:101. doi:10.1186/s13058-016-0763-8

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

121. Buehring GC. Response to “lack of association between bovine leukemia virus and breast cancer in Chinese patients”. *Breast Cancer Res* (2017) 19(1):24. doi:10.1186/s13058-017-0808-7

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

122. zur Hausen H, de Villiers EM. Dairy cattle serum and milk factors contributing to the risk of colon and breast cancers. *Int J Cancer* (2015) 137:959–67. doi:10.1002/ijc.29466

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

123. Ji J, Sundquist J, Sundquist K. Lactose intolerance and risk of lung, breast and ovarian cancers: aetiological clues from a population-based study in Sweden. *Br J Cancer* (2015) 112:149–52.

doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.544

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

124. Michels KB, Ekblom A. Caloric restriction and incidence of breast cancer. *JAMA* (2004) 291(10):1226–30. doi:10.1001/jama.291.10.1226

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

125. Morimoto LM, Newcomb PA, White E, Bigler J, Potter JD. Variation in plasma insulin-like growth factor-1 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3: personal and lifestyle factors (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* (2005) 16(8):917–27. doi:10.1007/s10552-005-2702-3

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

126. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature* (2014) 505(7484):559–63. doi:10.1038/nature12820

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

127. Wada K, Nakamura K, Tamai Y, Tsuji M, Kawachi T, Hori A, et al. Soy isoflavone intake and breast cancer risk in Japan: from the Takayama study. *Int J Cancer* (2013) 133:952–60. doi:10.1002/ijc.28088

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

128. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer* (2015) 136:E359–86. doi:10.1002/ijc.29210

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

129. Choi J, Kim C, Lee HS, Choi YJ, Kim HY, Lee J, et al. Detection of human papillomavirus in Korean breast cancer patients by real-time polymerase chain reaction and meta-analysis of human papillomavirus and breast cancer. *J Pathol Transl Med* (2016) 50:442–50. doi:10.4132/jptm.2016.07.08

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

130. Bae JM, Kim EH. Human papillomavirus infection and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2016) 11:14. doi:10.1186/s13027-016-0058-9

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

131. Yu Y, Morimoto T, Sasa M, Okazaki K, Harada Y, Fujiwara T, et al. HPV33 DNA in premalignant and malignant breast lesions in Chinese and Japanese populations. *Anticancer Res* (1999) 19(6B):5057–61.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

132. Damin AP, Karam R, Zettler CG, Caleffi M, Alexandre CO. Evidence for an association of human papillomavirus and breast carcinomas. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2004) 84:131–7. doi:10.1023/B:BREA.0000018411.89667.0d

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

133. Tsai JH, Tsai CH, Cheng MH, Lin SJ, Xu FL, Yang CC. Association of viral factors with non-familial breast cancer in Taiwan by comparison with non-cancerous, fibroadenoma, and thyroid tumor tissues. *J Med Virol* (2005) 75:276–81. doi:10.1002/jmv.20267

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

134. Choi YL, Cho EY, Kim JH, Nam SJ, Oh YL, Song SY, et al. Detection of human papillomavirus DNA by DNA chip in breast carcinomas of Korean women. *Tumour Biol* (2007) 28:327–32. doi:10.1159/000124238

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

135. Gumus M, Yumuk PF, Salepci T, Aliustaoglu M, Dane F, Ekenel M, et al. HPV DNA frequency and subset analysis in human breast cancer patients' normal and tumoral tissue samples. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2006) 25:515–21.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

136. He Q, Zhang SQ, Chu YL, Jia XL, Wang XL. The correlations between HPV16 infection and expressions of c-erbB-2 and bcl-2 in breast carcinoma. *Mol Biol Rep* (2009) 36:807–12. doi:10.1007/s11033-008-9249-9

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

137. de León DC, Montiel DP, Nemcova J, Mykyskova I, Turcios E, Villavicencio V, et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) in breast tumors: prevalence in a group of Mexican patients. *BMC Cancer* (2009) 9:26. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-9-26

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

138. Heng B, Glenn WK, Ye Y, Tran B, Delprado W, Lutze-Mann L, et al. Human papilloma virus is associated with breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* (2009) 101:1345–50. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605282

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

139. Herrera-Goepfert R, Khan NA, Koriyama C, Akiba S, Pérez-Sánchez VM. High-risk human papillomavirus in mammary gland carcinomas and non-neoplastic tissues of Mexican women: no evidence supporting a cause and effect relationship. *Breast* (2011) 20:184–9. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2010.11.006

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

140. Mou X, Chen L, Liu F, Shen Y, Wang H, Li Y, et al. Low prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV) in Chinese patients with breast cancer. *J Int Med Res* (2011) 39:1636–44. doi:10.1177/147323001103900506

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

141. Chang P, Wang T, Yao Q, Lv Y, Zhang J, Guo W, et al. Absence of human papillomavirus in patients with breast cancer in north-west China. *Med Oncol* (2012) 29:521–5. doi:10.1007/s12032-011-9945-5

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

142. Sigaroodi A, Nadji SA, Naghshvar F, Nategh R, Emami H, Velayati AA. Human papillomavirus is associated with breast cancer in the north part of Iran. *ScientificWorldJournal*

(2012) 2012:837191. doi:10.1100/2012/837191

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

143. Frega A, Lorenzon L, Bononi M, De Cesare A, Ciardi A, Lombardi D, et al. Evaluation of E6 and E7 mRNA expression in HPV DNA positive breast cancer. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol* (2012) 33:164–7.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

144. Liang W, Wang J, Wang C, Lv Y, Gao H, Zhang K, et al. Detection of high-risk human papillomaviruses in fresh breast cancer samples using the hybrid capture 2 assay. *J Med Virol* (2013) 85:2087–92. doi:10.1002/jmv.23703

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

145. Ahangar Oskouee M, Shahmahmoodi S, Jalilvand S, Mahmoodi M, Ziaee AA, Esmaili HA, et al. No evidence of mammary tumor virus env gene-like sequences among Iranian women with breast cancer. *Intervirology* (2014) 57:353–6. doi:10.1159/000366280

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

146. Ali SH, Al-Alwan NA, Al-Alwany SH. Detection and genotyping of human papillomavirus in breast cancer tissues from Iraqi patients. *East Mediterr Health J* (2014) 20:372–7.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

147. Manzouri L, Salehi R, Shariatpanahi S, Rezaie P. Prevalence of human papilloma virus among women with breast cancer since 2005-2009 in Isfahan. *Adv Biomed Res* (2014) 3:75. doi:10.4103/2277-9175.125873

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

148. Peng J, Wang T, Zhu H, Guo J, Li K, Yao Q, et al. Multiplex PCR/mass spectrometry screening of biological carcinogenic agents in human mammary tumors. *J Clin Virol* (2014) 61:255–9. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2014.07.010

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

149. Fu L, Wang D, Shah W, Wang Y, Zhang G, He J. Association of human papillomavirus type 58 with breast cancer in Shaanxi province of China. *J Med Virol* (2015) 87:1034–40. doi:10.1002/jmv.24142

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

150. Li J, Ding J, Zhai K. Detection of human papillomavirus DNA in patients with breast tumor in China. *PLoS One* (2015) 10:e0136050. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136050

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

151. Wang D, Fu L, Shah W, Zhang J, Yan Y, Ge X, et al. Presence of high risk HPV DNA but indolent transcription of E6/E7 oncogenes in invasive ductal carcinoma of breast. *Pathol Res Pract* (2016) 212:1151–6. doi:10.1016/j.prp.2016.09.009

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

152. Delgado-García S, Martínez-Escoriza JC, Alba A, Martín-Bayón TA, Ballester-Galiana H, Peiró G, et al. Presence of human papillomavirus DNA in breast cancer: a Spanish case-control study. *BMC Cancer* (2017) 17:320. doi:10.1186/s12885-017-3308-3

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

153. Salman NA, Davies G, Majidy F, Shakir F, Akinrinade H, Perumal D, et al. Association of high risk human papillomavirus and breast cancer: a UK based Study. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:43591. doi:10.1038/srep43591

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

154. Labrecque LG, Barnes DM, Fentiman IS, Griffin BE. Epstein-Barr virus in epithelial cell tumors: a breast cancer study. *Cancer Res* (1995) 55:39–45.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

155. Bonnet M, Guinebretiere JM, Kremmer E, Grunewald V, Benhamou E, Contesso G, et al. Detection of Epstein-Barr virus in invasive breast cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1999) 91:1376–81. doi:10.1093/jnci/91.16.1376

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

156. Grinstein S, Preciado MV, Gattuso P, Chabay PA, Warren WH, De Matteo E, et al. Demonstration of Epstein-Barr virus in carcinomas of various sites. *Cancer Res* (2002) 62:4876–8.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

157. Preciado MV, Chabay PA, De Matteo EN, Gonzalez P, Grinstein S, Actis A, et al. Epstein-Barr virus in breast carcinoma in Argentina. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* (2005) 129:377–81. doi:10.1043/1543-2165(2005)129<377:EVIBCI>2.0.CO;2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

158. Fawzy S, Sallam M, Awad NM. Detection of Epstein-Barr virus in breast carcinoma in Egyptian women. *Clin Biochem* (2008) 41:486–92. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2007.12.017

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

159. Joshi D, Quadri M, Gangane N, Joshi R, Gangane N. Association of Epstein Barr virus infection (EBV) with breast cancer in rural Indian women. *PLoS One* (2009) 4:e8180. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008180

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

160. Lorenzetti MA, De Matteo E, Gass H, Martinez Vazquez P, Lara J, Gonzalez P, et al. Characterization of Epstein Barr virus latency pattern in Argentine breast carcinoma. *PLoS One* (2010) 5:e13603. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013603

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

161. Zekri AR, Bahnassy AA, Mohamed WS, El-Kassem FA, El-Khalidi SJ, Hafez MM, et al. Epstein-Barr virus and breast cancer: epidemiological and molecular study on Egyptian and Iraqi women. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst* (2012) 24:123–31. doi:10.1016/j.jnci.2012.06.001

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

162. Yahia ZA, Adam AA, Elgizouli M, Hussein A, Masri MA, Kamal M, et al. Epstein Barr virus: a prime candidate of breast cancer aetiology in Sudanese patients. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2014) 9:9. doi:10.1186/1750-9378-9-9

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

163. El-Naby NEH, Hassan Mohamed H, Mohamed Goda A, El Sayed Mohamed A. Epstein-Barr virus infection and breast invasive ductal carcinoma in Egyptian women: a single center experience. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst* (2017) 29:77–82. doi:10.1016/j.jnci.2017.02.002

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

164. Pai T, Gupta S, Gurav M, Nag S, Shet T, Patil A, et al. Evidence for the association of Epstein-Barr virus in breast cancer in Indian patients using in-situ hybridization technique. *Breast J* (2017). doi:10.1111/tbj.12828

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

165. Yan C, Teng ZP, Chen YX, Shen DH, Li JT, Zeng Y. Viral etiology relationship between human papillomavirus and human breast cancer and target of gene therapy. *Biomed Environ Sci* (2016) 29:331–9. doi:10.3967/bes2016.043

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

166. Lawson JS, Glenn WK, Salyakina D, Delprado W, Clay R, Antonsson A, et al. Human papilloma viruses and breast cancer. *Front Oncol* (2015) 5:277. doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00277

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

167. Lawson JS, Glenn WK, Heng B, Ye Y, Tran B, Lutze-Mann L, et al. Koilocytes indicate a role for human papilloma virus in breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* (2009) 101:1351–6. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605328

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

168. Gannon OM, Antonsson A, Milevskiy M, Brown MA, Saunders NA, Bennett IC. No association between HPV positive breast cancer and expression of human papilloma viral transcripts. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:18081. doi:10.1038/srep18081

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

169. Lawson JS, Glenn WK, Salyakina D, Clay R, Delprado W, Cheerala B, et al. Human papilloma virus identification in breast cancer patients with previous cervical neoplasia. *Front Oncol* (2016) 5:298. doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00298

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

170. Atique S, Hsieh CH, Hsiao RT, Iqbal U, Nguyen PAA, Islam MM, et al. Viral warts (human papilloma virus) as a potential risk for breast cancer among younger females. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* (2017) 144:203–7. doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2017.03.024

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

171. Dimri G, Band H, Band V. Mammary epithelial cell transformation: insights from cell culture and mouse models. *Breast Cancer Res* (2005) 7:171–9. doi:10.1186/bcr973

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

172. Yasmeen A, Bismar TA, Kandouz M, Foulkes WD, Desprez PY, Al Moustafa AE. E6/E7 of HPV type 16 promotes cell invasion and metastasis of human breast cancer cells. *Cell Cycle* (2007) 6:2038–42. doi:10.4161/cc.6.16.4555

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

173. Lawson JS, Glenn WK, Whitaker NJ. Breast cancer, human papilloma virus and sexual activities. *Br J Cancer* (2008) 98:510–1. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604104

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

174. Foresta C, Bertoldo A, Garolla A, Pizzol D, Mason S, Lenzi A, et al. Human papillomavirus proteins are found in peripheral blood and semen Cd20+ and Cd56+ cells during Hpv-16 semen infection. *BMC Infect Dis* (2013) 13:593. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-593

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

175. Grulich AE, van Leeuwen MT, Falster MO, Vajdic CM. Incidence of cancers in people with HIV/AIDS compared with immunosuppressed transplant recipients: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* (2007) 370:59–67. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61050-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

176. Ngan C, Lawson JS, Clay R, Delprado W, Whitaker NJ, Glenn WK. Early human papilloma virus (HPV) oncogenic influences in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer (Auckl)* (2015) 9:93–7. doi:10.4137/BCBCR.S35692

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

177. Al Moustafa AE, Al-Antary N, Aboukassim T, Akil N, Batist G, Yasmeen A. Co-prevalence of Epstein-Barr virus and high-risk human papillomaviruses in Syrian women with breast cancer. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2016) 12:1936–9. doi:10.1080/21645515.2016.1139255

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

178. Corbex M, Bouzbid S, Traverse-Glehen A, Aouras H, McKay-Chopin S, Carreira C, et al. Prevalence of papillomaviruses, polyomaviruses, and herpesviruses in triple-negative and inflammatory breast tumors from algeria compared with other types of breast cancer tumors. *PLoS One* (2014) 9:e114559. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114559

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

179. Cameron J. HPV and EBV collaboration. *DNA Tumour Virus Meeting Abstracts*. Trieste: International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (2011).

[Google Scholar](#)

180. Richardson AK, Currie MJ, Robinson BA, Morrin H, Phung Y, Pearson JF, et al. Cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus in breast cancer. *PLoS One* (2015) 10:e0118989. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118989

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

181. Cox B, Richardson A, Graham P, Gislefoss RE, Jellum E, Rollag H. Breast cancer, cytomegalovirus and Epstein–Barr virus: a nested case–control study. *Br J Cancer* (2010) 102:1665–9. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605675

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

182. He JR, Tang LY, Yu DD, Su FX, Song EW, Lin Y, et al. Epstein-Barr virus and breast cancer: serological study in a high-incidence area of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* (2011) 309:128–36. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2011.05.012

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

183. Yasui Y, Potter JD, Stanford JL, Rossing MA, Winget MD, Bronner M, et al. Breast cancer risk and “delayed” primary Epstein-Barr virus infection. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2001) 10:9–16.

[Google Scholar](#)

184. Zhang W, Wang MY, Wei XL, Lin Y, Su FX, Xie XM, et al. Associations of Epstein-Barr virus DNA in PBMCs and the subtypes with breast cancer risk. *J Cancer* (2017) 8(15):2944–9. doi:10.7150/jca.20330

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

185. Lawson JS, Glenn WK. Multiple oncogenic viruses are present in human breast tissues before development of virus associated breast cancer. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2017) 12:55. doi:10.1186/s13027-017-0165-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

186. Speck P, Longnecker R. Infection of breast epithelial cells with Epstein-Barr virus via cell-to-cell contact. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2000) 92:1849–51. doi:10.1093/jnci/92.22.1849

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

187. Hu H, Luo ML, Desmedt C, Nabavi S, Yadegarynia S, Hong A, et al. Epstein-Barr virus infection of mammary epithelial cells promotes malignant transformation. *EBioMedicine* (2016) 9:148–60. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.05.025

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

188. Polz-Dacewicz M, Strycharz-Dudziak M, Dworzanski J, Stec A, Kocot J. Salivary and serum IL-10, TNF-alpha, TGF-beta, VEGF levels in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma and correlation with HPV and EBV infections. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2016) 11:45. doi:10.1186/s13027-016-0093-6

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

189. Szostek S, Zawilinska B, Kopec J, Kosz-Vnenchak M. Herpesviruses as possible cofactors in HPV-16-related oncogenesis. *Acta Biochim Pol* (2009) 56:337–42.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

190. Perzova R, Abbott L, Benz P, Landas S, Khan S, Glaser J, et al. Is MMTV associated with human breast cancer? Maybe, but probably not. *Virol J* (2017) 14(1):196. doi:10.1186/s12985-017-0862-x

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

191. Holland JF, Pogo BG. Comment on the review by Joshi and Buehring. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2012) 136(1):303–7. doi:10.1007/s10549-012-2078-5

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

192. Tang KW, Larsson E. Tumour virology in the era of high-throughput genomics. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* (1732) 2017:372.

[Google Scholar](#)

193. Fimereli D, Gacquer D, Fumagalli D, Salgado R, Rothé F, Larsimont D, et al. No significant viral transcription detected in whole breast cancer transcriptomes. *BMC Cancer* (2015) 15:147. doi:10.1186/s12885-015-1176-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

194. Burton DS, Blair PB, Weiss DW. Protection against mammary tumors in mice by immunization with purified mammary tumor virus preparations. *Cancer Res* (1969) 29:971–3.

[Google Scholar](#)

195. Sarkar NH, Moore DH. Immunization of mice against murine mammary tumor virus infection and mammary tumor development. *Cancer Res* (1978) 38:1468–72.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

196. Mpandi M, Otten LA, Lavanchy C, Acha-Orbea H, Finke D. Passive immunization with neutralizing antibodies interrupts the mouse mammary tumor virus life cycle. *J Virol* (2003) 77:9369–77. doi:10.1128/JVI.77.17.9369-9377.2003

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

197. Astori M, Karapetian O. Immunization with a mouse mammary tumour virus envelope protein epitope protects against tumour formation without inhibition of the virus infection. *J Gen Virol* (1997) 78:1935–9. doi:10.1099/0022-1317-78-8-1935

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

198. Braitbard O, Roniger M, Bar-Sinai A, Rajchman D, Gross T, Abramovitch H, et al. A new immunization and treatment strategy for mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) associated cancers. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7:21168–80. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.7762

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

199. Evermann J. Cause for concern: bovine leukemia virus. *Veterinary Medicine Extension*. (2014). p. 1–5. Available from:

http://vetextension.wsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/8/2015/03/BovineLeukemiaVirus_June2014.pdf

[Google Scholar](#)

200. Weigelt B, Horlings HM, Kreike B, Hayes MM, Hauptmann M, Wessels LF, et al. Refinement of breast cancer classification by molecular characterization of histological special types. *J Pathol* (2008) 216:141–50. doi:10.1002/path.2407

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

201. Lawson JS, Ngan CC, Glenn WK, Tran DD. Mouse mammary tumour virus (MMTV) and human breast cancer with neuroendocrine differentiation. *Infect Agent Cancer* (2017) 12:24. doi:10.1186/s13027-017-0135-8

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

202. Aloraifi F, Boland MR, Green AJ, Geraghty JG. Gene analysis techniques and susceptibility gene discovery in non-BRCA1/BRCA2 familial breast cancer. *Surg Oncol* (2015) 24(2):100–9. doi:10.1016/j.suronc.2015.04.003

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

203. Chandler MR, Bilgili EP, Merner ND. A review of whole-exome sequencing efforts toward hereditary breast cancer susceptibility gene discovery. *Hum Mutat* (2016) 37(9):835–46. doi:10.1002/humu.23017

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

204. Cobain EF, Milliron KJ, Merajver SD. Updates on breast cancer genetics: clinical implications of detecting syndromes of inherited increased susceptibility to breast cancer. *Semin Oncol* (2016) 43(5):528–35. doi:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2016.10.001

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

205. Finlay-Schultz J, Sartorius CA. Steroid hormones, steroid receptors, and breast cancer stem cells. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* (2015) 20(1–2):39–50. doi:10.1007/s10911-015-9340-5

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

206. Bozorgi A, Khazaei M, Khazaei MR. New findings on breast cancer stem cells: a review. *J Breast Cancer* (2015) 18(4):303–12. doi:10.4048/jbc.2015.18.4.303

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Keywords: breast cancer, oncogenic viruses, mouse mammary tumour virus, bovine leukemia virus, human papilloma virus, Epstein–Barr virus, multiple viral infections

Citation: Lawson JS, Salmons B and Glenn WK (2018) Oncogenic Viruses and Breast Cancer: Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus (MMTV), Bovine Leukemia Virus (BLV), Human Papilloma Virus (HPV), and Epstein–Barr Virus (EBV). *Front. Oncol.* 8:1. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00001

Received: 04 October 2017; Accepted: 03 January 2018;

Published: 22 January 2018

Edited by:

[Jianguang Ji](#), Lund University, Sweden

Reviewed by:

[Clement Adebamowo](#), University of Maryland, United States

[Marianna De Camargo Cancela](#), Brazilian National Cancer Institute, Brazil

Copyright: © 2018 Lawson, Salmons and Glenn. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction

in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

*Correspondence: James S. Lawson, james.lawson@unsw.edu.au